

## HIV MONITORING QUARTERLY REPORT

FOR FRASER HEALTH

SECOND QUARTER 2016

















#### Foreword

As part of the BC Centre for Excellence (BC-CFE) in HIV/AIDS's mandate to evaluate the outcomes of STOP HIV/AIDS programming in BC, we have developed quarterly HIV/AIDS monitoring reports. These reports provide up-to-date data on a variety of key HIV-related surveillance and treatment indicators. Selection of these indicators was achieved through a collaborative process with various Health Authority (HA) representatives. There are six reports in total, one for each HA and one for the province of BC as a whole. In addition, there is a technical report which explains how each HIV indicator is calculated. Data used in these reports come from the British Columbia Centre for Disease Control (BCCDC), MSP billings, hospitalization data from the Discharge Abstract Database, the Sunquest Laboratory database at the Provincial Public Health Microbiology and Reference Laboratory, Providence Health Care laboratory and the BC-CFE Drug Treatment Program (DTP) Database.

The objectives of these reports are to:

- 1. Provide timely HA-specific information on key HIV indicators which will guide and inform HIV leaders and innovators in the development of future HIV interventions and programs which will ultimately lead to decreasing the burden of HIV in BC. The indicators will reflect ongoing or past successful public health interventions and highlight areas in the HIV care spectrum which require further attention and support.
- 2. Highlight limitations in our current data due to incomplete or time lagged data and to develop future strategies to improve complete and timely data capture.

These reports are produced for the benefit of individual HA's. As such, we are enthusiastic about your involvement and cooperation regarding the development of these monitoring reports. Please forward your comments and queries to Irene Day, Director of Operations at the BC-CFE at iday@cfenet.ubc.ca.

## List of Indicators

Indicator 1. HIV Testing Episodes

Indicator 2. HIV Testing Rate

Indicator 3. New HIV Diagnoses

Indicator 4. Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis

Indicator 5. HIV Cascade of Care

Indicator 6. Programmatic Compliance Score (PCS)

Indicator 7. New Antiretroviral Therapy Starts

Indicator 8. CD4 Cell Count at ART Initiation

Indicator 9. Active and Inactive Drug Treatment Program (DTP) Participants

Indicator 10. Antiretroviral Adherence

Indicator 11. Resistance Testing and Results

Indicator 12. AIDS-Defining Illness

Indicator 13. HIV-Related Mortality

## Table of Contents

#### Acknowledgements and Contributions

#### **BC Provincial STOP Program:**

A Note on Monitoring and Interpreting HIV Indicators

Indicator 1	HIV Testing Episodes All HIV Testing Episodes reflect non-prenatal tests. All prenatal tests have been removed.
Figure 1.1	HIV Test Episodes for Fraser Health, 2011 Q3-2016 Q2
Figure 1.2	HIV Test Episodes for Fraser Health by Gender, 2011 Q3–2016 Q2
Figure 1.3	HIV Test Episodes for Fraser Health by Age Category, 2011 Q3–2016 Q2
Figure 1.4	Point-of-Care HIV Tests for Fraser Health, 2011 Q3-2016 Q2
Figure 1.5	HIV Test Episodes by HSDA for Fraser Health, 2011 Q3–2016 Q2
Figure 1.6	HIV Test Episodes for Non-Prenatal Females in Fraser Health by Hsda, 2011 Q3–2016 Q2
Figure 1.7	HIV Test Episodes for Males in Fraser Health by HSDA, 2011 Q3–2016 Q2
Indicator 2	HIV Testing Rates All HIV Testing Rates reflect non-prenatal tests. All prenatal tests have been removed.
Figure 2.1	Rate of HIV Testing for Fraser Health and HSDA's, 2009-2015
Figure 2.2	Rate of HIV Testing for Fraser Health by Gender, 2009–2015
Figure 2.3	Rate of HIV Testing for Fraser Health by Age Category, 2009–2015
Indicator 3	New HIV Diagnoses
Figure 3.1	New HIV Diagnoses for Fraser Health, 2011 Q3-2016 Q2
Figure 3.2	New HIV Diagnoses for Fraser Health by Gender, 2011 Q3–2016 Q2
Figure 3.3	New HIV Diagnoses for Fraser Health by Age Category, 2011 Q3-2016 Q2
Figure 3.4	New HIV Diagnoses for Fraser Health by Exposure Category, 2011 Q1–2015 Q2
Figure 3.5	New HIV Diagnoses for Fraser Health by HSDA, 2011 Q3-2016 Q2
Indicator 4	<b>Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis</b> Stage definitions have been altered to remove AIDS diagnosis data. Individuals previously classified as Stage 3 have been re-classified based on CD4 cell count.
Table 1	Staging Classifications of Infection at Time of HIV Diagnosis Based on CDC HIV Surveillance Case Definitions
Figure 4.1	Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis for Fraser Health, 2011–2015
Figure 4.2	Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis for Fraser Health by Gender, 2011–2015
Figure 4.3	Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis for Fraser Health by Age Category, 2011–2015
Figure 4.4	Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis for Fraser Health by Exposure Category, 2011–2015
Indicator 5	HIV Cascade of Care
Figure 5.1	Estimated Cascade of Care for Fraser Health, Year Ending 2016 Q2
Figure 5.2	Estimated Cascade of Care for Fraser Health by Gender, Year Ending 2016 Q2
Figure 5.3	Estimated Cascade of Care for Fraser Health by Age Category, Year Ending 2016 Q2

Figure 5.4	Estimated Cascade of Care for Fraser Health by Msm Status, Year Ending 2016 Q2
Figure 5.5	Estimated Cascade of Care for Fraser Health by Age Category and Мsм Status, Year Ending 2016 Q2
Figure 5.6	Estimated Cascade of Care for Fraser Health by Pwid Status, Year Ending 2016 Q2
Figure 5.7	Estimated Cascade of Care for Fraser Health by Hsda, Year Ending 2016 Q2
<b>Indicator 6</b>	Programmatic Compliance Score (PCS)
Table 2	Probability of Mortality, Immunologic Failure and Virologic Failure Based on the Programmatic Compliance Score
Figure 6.1	Pcs Components for Fraser Health, 2014 Q3-2016 Q2
	Less than 3 CD4 Tests in First Year
	Less than 3 Viral Load Tests in First Year
	Not Having Drug Resistance Testing at Baseline
	Non-Recommended Antiretroviral Therapy Regimen (ART)
	Baseline CD4 < 200 cells/μL
	Not Achieving Viral Suppression at 9 Months
Figure 6.2	Historical Trends for Pcs Score for Fraser Health, 2014 Q3-2016 Q2
<b>Indicator</b> 7	New Antiretroviral Therapy Starts in Fraser Health
Figure 7	BC-CfE Drug Treatment Program Enrollment: New Antiretroviral Participants for Fraser Health, 2014 Q3–2016 Q2
<b>Indicator 8</b>	CD4 Cell Count at ART Initiation
Figure 8	CD4 Cell Count at Art Initiation for Fraser Health, 2014 Q3–2016 Q2
Indicator 9	Active and Inactive Drug Treatment Program (DTP) Participants
Table 3	Distribution of People on ART in Fraser Health, 2016 Q2
Figure 9	Active and Inactive DTP Participants for Fraser Health, 2014 Q3-2016 Q2
Indicator 10	Antiretroviral Adherence
Figure 10	Distribution of Individuals by Adherence Level in 1st Year of Therapy, Based on Pharmacy Refill Compliance for Fraser Health, 2014 Q3–2016 Q2
Indicator 11	Resistance Testing and Results
Figure 11	Cumulative Resistance Testing Results by Resistance Category for Fraser Health, 2014 Q3–2016 Q2
Indicator 12	AIDs-Defining Illness
Figure 12	AIDS Case Rate and Reports for Fraser Health, 2008–2015
Indicator 13	
	HIV-Related Mortality

#### Acknowledgements and Contributions



British Columbia Centre for Excellence in HIV/AIDS (BC-CFE): The BC-CFE is responsible for the conception, preparation and ongoing review of this quarterly report. The BC-CFE provides the data and outputs for Indicators 5 (HIV Cascade of Care), 6 (Programmatic Compliance Score), 7 (New Antiretroviral Starts), 8 (CD4 Cell Count at ART Initiation), 9 (Active and Inactive Drug Treatment Program Participants), 10 (Antiretroviral Adherence Level), 11 (Resistance Testing Results by Resistance Category), 12 (AIDS-Defining Illness), and 13 (HIV-Related Mortality). The BC-CFE database provides PVL and CD4 cell count testing data, as well as ART use. All PVL measurements in BC are performed at the St Paul's Hospital virology laboratory, thus PVL data capture is 100%. An estimated 80% of all CD4 count measurements performed in the province are captured in the BC-CFE data holdings. The STOP HIV/AIDS Technical Monitoring Committee—BC-CFE is responsible for oversight of the monitoring report. James Nakagawa is responsible for compiling and publishing this report. Lilith Swetland is the editor of this report. Paul Sereda, Dr. Viviane Lima and Nada Gataric perform analysis of Indicators 5–13. This report was conceived and guided by Dr. Julio Montaner.



British Columbia Centre for Disease Control (BCCDC): The BCCDC provides the data and outputs for Indicator 1 (HIV Testing Episodes), Indicator 2 (HIV Testing Rate), Indicator 3 (New HIV Diagnoses), Indicator 4 (Stage of HIV at Diagnosis) and Indicator 12 (AIDS-Defining Illness). The BCCDC is the single provincial agency that centralizes all HIV surveillance through the Public Health Microbiology and Reference Laboratory, which does more than 90% of all HIV screening tests in BC and all confirmatory testing. Olga Mazo, Theodora Consolacion and Dr. Jason Wong are responsible for outputs for Indicators 1–4.

#### Other Data Sources:

The above databases were supplemented with:

- (I) The BC Vital Statistics database which was used to calculate Indicator 5. The HIV Cascade of Care and Indicator 13. HIV-Related Mortality.
- (II) Linkage and preparation of the de-identified individual-level database used for calculating Indicator 5. The HIV Cascade of Care was facilitated by the British Columbia Ministry of Health.
- (III) The Statistics Canada database: BC and HIV-positive population counts were acquired through the statistics Canada website to calculate HIV-specific mortality rates for Indicator 13. HIV-Related Mortality.

## Membership of the STOP HIV/AIDS Technical Monitoring Committee-BC-CfE

Dr. Rolando Barrios, Chair, BC-CFE

Dr. Kate Heath, BC-CFE

Dr. Bohdan Nosyk, BC-CFE

Dr. Viviane Dias Lima, BC-CFE

Irene Day, BC-CFE

Dr. Jean Shoveller, BC-CFE

Dr. Jason Wong, BCCDC

Dr. Mel Krajden, BCCDC

Salman Klar, FHA

Jennifer May-Hadford, іна

Kari Harder, NHA

Dr. Neora Pick, PHSA

Dr. Reka Gustafson, vсна

Dr. Melanie Rusch, vіна

# The Seek and Treat for Optimal Prevention (STOP) HIV/AIDS BC Provincial Program: A Note on Monitoring and Interpreting HIV Indicators

The Seek and Treat for Optimal Prevention (STOP) of HIV/AIDS programme is a provincial initiative to improve HIV diagnosis and care delivery in BC through increased HIV-specific funding to all Health Service Delivery Areas (HSDA'S) across BC. The STOP provincial programme is an expansion of a four-year STOP pilot project which was implemented in two Health Service Delivery Areas in March 2010; the Vancouver HSDA which bears the largest burden of the HIV epidemic in the province and the Northern Interior HSDA which bears a high burden of HIV-related mortality. The STOP pilot project demonstrated the urgent need for improved efforts in early diagnosis of HIV and timely initiation of antiretroviral therapy (ART) initiation.

The expansion to a province-wide programme was announced on November 30th, 2013 by the BC Ministry of Health with roll out of funding beginning on April 1st, 2013. This funding is intended to be used in the implementation and evaluation of HIV-related diagnosis and care initiatives within individual HA's. Goals of the project include: 1. A reduction in the number of new HIV infections in BC; 2. Improvements in the quality, effectiveness, and reach of HIV prevention services; 3. An increase in early diagnosis of HIV; 4. A reduction in AIDS cases and HIV-related mortality.


The goals of HA-led STOP-funded initiatives are to work toward achieving these goals. To these ends some outcome measures or indicators of progress have been drafted that should be considered in the design and implementation phases of these initiatives.

## **HIV Testing Episodes and Rates**

In this section, the number of HIV test episodes and point of care (POC) HIV tests conducted each quarter in BC is shown. In general terms the goal is to increase the number of tests performed and to maximize testing efficiency. Test episodes are allocated by region according to where the test is performed.

#### Indicator 1. HIV Testing Episodes

Figure 1.1 HIV Test Episodes for Fraser Health






Figure 1.3 HIV Test Episodes by Age Category for Fraser Health 1,2





Figure 1.4 Point-of-Care HIV Tests for Fraser Health



<sup>1</sup> Data Source: The BC Public Health Microbiology and Reference Laboratory (BCPHMRL) courtesy of the BC Centre for Disease Control (BCCDC).

Limitation: Repeat tests in individuals who test using various identifiers may not be identified and these individuals may be counted more than once.

2 Testing does not include point of care tests.





Figure 1.6 HIV Test Episodes for Non-prenatal Females in Fraser Health by HSDA  $^{1,2}$ 4.8 -4.4 -4.0 -3.6 -# HIV Test Episodes (thousands) 3.2 -2.8 -Fraser North 2.4 -2.0 -Fraser South 1.6 -1.2 -Fraser East 0.8 -0.4 -Q1 Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2011 0.8 0.8 0.9 0.8 0.8 0.9 0.9 0.9 0.6 0.6 0.7 0.7 0.8 1.3 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 Fraser East 3.5 3.4 3.4 3.4 3.5 Fraser North 2.2 2.2 2.4 2.5 2.5 2.8 3.3 3.4 3.4 3.1 3.2



## Indicator 2. HIV Testing Rates

Figure 2.1 Rate of HIV Testing for Fraser Health and HSDAs <sup>2</sup>





Figure 2.2 Rate of HIV Testing by Gender for Fraser Health <sup>2</sup>



6600 6000 5400 4800 30-39 HIV Testing Episodes per 100,000 Population 4200 3600 3000 40-49 2400 < 30 1800 ≥ 50 1200 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2489.2 5051.0 2689.8 5662.1 < 30 2216.2 2221.1 2246.3 2749.4 3057.6 4510.4 30-39 6616.1 6086.7 4370.1 4461.7 40-49 2717.3 3256.0 3890.1 4182.6 2658.3 2643.0 4695.9 1320.6 1993.2 ≥ 50 1145.0 1191.7 2793.0 3243.2 3698.3

Figure 2.3 Rate of HIV Testing by Age Category for Fraser Health  $^{\rm 2}$ 

<sup>2</sup> Testing does not include point of care tests.

## New HIV Diagnoses

Trends in HIV diagnoses by gender and exposure category are described. Interpreting HIV diagnoses must be done with consideration that trends are influenced by both changes in testing rate as well as changes in transmission rates. It is important to note that new HIV diagnoses cases and rates are not synonymous with HIV incidence as a person may have become infected with HIV long before they tested positive for HIV. However, as there is no reliable method for measuring HIV incidence, we follow trends in HIV diagnoses.

#### Indicator 3. New HIV Diagnoses






Figure 3.2 New HIV Diagnoses for Fraser Health by Gender <sup>3</sup>



<sup>3</sup> Data Source: BCCDC. When present, "By Provider Address" is graphed as dashed line in same colour.

Figure 3.3 New HIV Diagnoses for Fraser Health by Age Category <sup>3</sup> 10 8 -# HIV Diagnoses 30-39 ≥ 50 6 0 -Q3 Q1 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q1 Q4 Q2 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q2Q3 Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 < 30 3 5 3 6 5 30-39 3 5 6 3 40-49 ≥ 50 2 Figure 3.4 New HIV Diagnoses for Fraser Health by Exposure Category 3,4 12 -10 # HIV Diagnoses 8 **MSM PWID** 2 Other NIR/Unknown Q4 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q1 Q1 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 2011 2012 2014 2015 2013 MSM (men who have sex with men) 10 11 PWID (persons who inject drugs) 2 5 3 0 HET (heterosexual) Other (other exposure identified) 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 N NIR/Unknown (no identified exposure) 0 0 0 Figure 3.5 New HIV Diagnoses for Fraser Health by HSDA <sup>3</sup> 15 -12 # HIV Diagnoses Fraser North Fraser South 6 3 Fraser East Q3 Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q1 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 Fraser East by Provider Address 0 Fraser North 14 3 8 10 10 by Provider Address Fraser South by Provider Address

<sup>3</sup> Data Source: BCCDC. When present, "By Provider Address" is graphed as dashed line in same colour.

<sup>4</sup> MSM=men who have sex with men; PWID=people who inject drugs; HET=heterosexual. NIR=No identified risk/exposure.

## Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis

Classification of stage of HIV infection, in the absence of information regarding recent testing history, is reliant on clinical information available at the time of diagnosis, including first CD4+ cell count and laboratory results suggestive of acute HIV infection (Table 1). The benefits of Treatment as Prevention (TasP) are maximized when antiretroviral therapy (ART) is initiated at high CD4 cell counts. Accordingly, it is preferable that individuals newly diagnosed with HIV be in the early stages of HIV infection (stage 0 or 1) to allow for early ART initiation.

N.B. Interpretation of Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis should proceed with caution. Early increases in diagnosis at late stage (i.e., low CD4 counts) may represent a "catching up" of previously missed long term infected individuals rather than a trend toward diagnosis at later stage of infection.

Indicator 4. Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis

Table 1 Staging Classifications of Infection at Time of HIV Diagnosis Based on CDC HIV Surveillance Case Definitions

Stage	Criteria												
0	previous	Laboratory criteria met for acute HIV infection, or previous negative or indeterminate HIV test within 180 days of first confirmed positive HIV test.											
1			CD4 ≥500										
2a			CD4 350-499										
2b	Stage 0 not met	and	CD4 200-349										
3	not met		CD4 <200										
Unknown			No available CD4										

Updated 2016 Q1: AIDS diagnosis date is no longer used in this indicator.

Figure 4.1 Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis for Fraser Health, 2011–2015 <sup>5</sup>





Figure 4.2 Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis by Gender for Fraser Health, 2011–2015 <sup>5</sup>



Data Source: BCCDC

Figure 4.3 Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis by Age Category for Fraser Health, 2011–2015 <sup>5</sup>





Figure 4.4 Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis by Exposure Category for Fraser Health, 2011–2015 5.6



<sup>5</sup> Data Source: BCCDC

<sup>6</sup> MSM=men who have sex with men; PWID=people who inject drugs; HET=heterosexual. NIR=No identified risk/exposure.


#### HIV Cascade of Care

#### Indicator 5. HIV Cascade of Care

The success of seek, test, treat and retain (STTR) strategies like STOP is reliant on early diagnosis of HIV, linking newly diagnosed HIV-positive persons with ongoing care, retaining persons in HIV-care; initiating ART based on best evidenced practices and maintaining optimal ART adherence to ensure a suppressed viral load. These stages of HIV-care can be summarized as: 1. HIV diagnosis, 2. Linked to HIV care, 3. Retained in HIV care, 4. On ART, 5. Adherent to ART and 6. Achieving a suppressed VL; collectively, they are referred to as the cascade of care. Attrition between any of these stages of HIV-care means a reduction in the potential of ART as a benefit to the HIV-positive individual and as an HIV transmission prevention method on a population level. Thus, when interpreting trends in the cascade of care, we strive to see increases along each step of the cascade of care (i.e. reduced attrition) with the ultimate goal being 100% within each stage of the cascade. Monitoring the Cascade of Care provides a picture as to where deficiencies lie in the delivery and uptake of HIV-care. In this section we present the cascade of care for the period 2015 Q3–2016 Q2 in Fraser Health and stratified by sex and age.

Figure 5.1 Estimated Cascade of Care for Fraser Health, Year Ending 2016 Q2 7

Figure 5.2 Estimated Cascade of Care for Fraser Health by Gender, Year Ending 2016 Q2  $^7$ 



<sup>7</sup> Data is for the period 2015 Q3-2016 Q2.

Data Sources:


Limitations: HA assignment is based on the most recent HA of residence of the patient, if not available of the HIV-care provider. If the most recent HA of residence is not updated then the designated HA may be incorrect.

NB: Transgender have been assigned to their biological sex.

i British Columbia Centre for Excellence Drug Treatment Program (DTP) Database (ARV use, VL and CD4 count).

ii Administrative data (ex. MSP billings; hospitalization data from the Discharge Abstract Database (DAD)).

Figure 5.3 Estimated Cascade of Care for Fraser Health by Age Category, Year Ending 2016 Q2 8




Limitations: HA assignment is based on the most recent HA of residence of the patient, if not available of the HIV-care provider. If the most recent HA of residence is not updated then the designated HA may be incorrect.

<sup>8</sup> Data is for the period 2015 Q3–2016 Q2. Data Sources:

i British Columbia Centre for Excellence Drug Treatment Program (DTP) Database (ARV use, VL and CD4 count).

ii Administrative data (ex. MSP billings; hospitalization data from the Discharge Abstract Database (DAD)).






Limitations: HA assignment is based on the most recent HA of residence of the patient, if not available of the HIV-care provider. If the most recent HA of residence is not updated then the designated HA may be incorrect.

<sup>9</sup> Data is for the period 2015 Q3-2016 Q2. Data Sources:

i British Columbia Centre for Excellence Drug Treatment Program (DTP) Database (ARV use, VL and CD4 count).

ii Administrative data (ex. MSP billings; hospitalization data from the Discharge Abstract Database (DAD)).

Figure 5.5 Estimated Cascade of Care for Fraser Health by Age Category and MSM Status, Year Ending 2016 Q2  $^{9}$ 





Data is for the period 2015 Q3-2016 Q2. Data Sources:

Limitations: HA assignment is based on the most recent HA of residence of the patient, if not available of the HIV-care provider. If the most recent HA of residence is not updated then the designated HA may be incorrect.

British Columbia Centre for Excellence Drug Treatment Program (DTP) Database (ARV use, VL and CD4 count).

ii Administrative data (ex. MSP billings; hospitalization data from the Discharge Abstract Database (DAD)).







Limitations: HA assignment is based on the most recent HA of residence of the patient, if not available of the HIV-care provider. If the most recent HA of residence is not updated then the designated HA may be incorrect.

<sup>9</sup> Data is for the period 2015 Q3-2016 Q2. Data Sources:

i British Columbia Centre for Excellence Drug Treatment Program (DTP) Database (ARV use, VL and CD4 count).

ii Administrative data (ex. MSP billings; hospitalization data from the Discharge Abstract Database (DAD)).





Limitations: HA assignment is based on the most recent HA of residence of the patient, if not available of the HIV-care provider. If the most recent HA of residence is not updated then the designated HA may be incorrect.

Data is for the period 2015 Q3–2016 Q2.

Data Sources:

i British Columbia Centre for Excellence Drug Treatment Program (DTP) Database (ARV use, VL and CD4 count).

ii Administrative data (ex. MSP billings; hospitalization data from the Discharge Abstract Database (DAD)).

## Programmatic Compliance Score

Indicator 6. Programmatic Compliance Score (PCS)

The Programmatic Compliance Score (PCS) is a summary measure of risk of future death, immunologic failure and virologic failure from all causes for people who are starting ART for the first time. It is composed of patient- and physician-driven effects. PCs scores range from o−6 with higher scores indicative of poorer health outcomes and greater risk of death. Table 2 provides mortality, immunologic failure and virologic failure probabilities for given PCs scores. We interpret an individual with a PCS≥4 as being 22 times more likely to die, almost 10 times more likely to have immunologic failure and nearly 4 times as likely to demonstrate virologic failure compared to those individuals with a PCs score of o. A detailed description of how the PCs score is calculated and its validation can be found in the technical report. In short, PCs scores are calculated by summing the results (yes=1, no=0) of six un-weighted non-performance indicators based on IAS−USA treatment guidelines:

- having <3 CD4 cell count tests in the first year after starting antiretroviral therapy (ART);
- 2. having <3 plasma viral load (VL) tests in the first year after starting ART;
- 3. not having drug resistance testing done prior to starting ART;
- 4. starting on a non-recommended ART regimen;
- 5. starting therapy with CD4<200 cells/μL; and
- 6. not achieving viral suppression within 9 months since ART initiation.

In this section we provide PCS scores and their components over time for the province of BC. A decline to 0%, (i.e., all individuals having a score of o) is the eventual goal.

Table 2. Probability of Mortality, Immunologic Failure and Virologic Failure based on the Programmatic Compliance Score

Programmatic Compliance Score	Mortality Risk Ratio (95% Confidence Interval)	Immunologic Failure Risk Ratio (95% CI)	Virologic Failure Risk Ratio (95% CI)
O (Best score)	1 (-)	1 (-)	1 (-)
1	3.81 (1.73-8.42)	1.39 (1.04–1.85)	1.32 (1.05–1.67)
2	7.97 (3.70–17.18)	2.17 (1.54-3.04)	1.86 (1.46–2.38)
3	11.51 (5.28-25.08)	2.93 (1.89-4.54)	2.98 (2.16-4.11)
4 or more (Worst score)	22.37 (10.46–47.84)	9.71 (5.72–16.47)	3.80 (2.52–5.73)

Reference: Lima VD, Le A, Nosyk B, Barrios R, Yip B, et al. (2012) Development and Validation of a Composite Programmatic Assessment Tool for HIV Therapy. PLoS ONE 7(11): e47859. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047859

Figure 6.1 PCS Components for Fraser Health, 2014 Q3-2016 Q2  $^{10}$ 





Figure 6.2 Historical Trends for PCS Score for Fraser Health, 2014 Q3-2016 Q2 10,11



Data Source: British Columbia Centre for Excellence Drug Treatment Program (DTP) Database. Limitations: CD4 cell count capture is approximately 80%.


<sup>11</sup> Each quarter's data is calculated as the sum of the 4 quarters leading up to it. e.g. 2013 Q1 is calculated from 2012 Q2 – 2013 Q1. NB: A score of o is the best score and a score of 4 or more is the worst score.

#### Antiretroviral Uptake

In this section we present trends in ART uptake, the number and proportion of new HIV treatment initiations and the number of active and inactive DTP participants. Trends in ART uptake should be interpreted under the consideration of changing BC HIV treatment guidelines. BC HIV treatment guidelines are updated regularly by the BC-CFE Therapeutic Guidelines Committee and reflect those of the International AIDS Society. Most recent changes were made in 2012 and HIV treatment is now recommended for all HIV-positive adults regardless of CD4 cell count; as evidence demonstrates that early initiation of HIV treatment maximizes both the individual's health outcomes as well as the potential of ART as a form of HIV transmission prevention at a population level. As such, trends in the number and proportion of persons on ART and new ART starts (in both naïve and experienced persons) are expected to increase over time at higher CD4 cell counts.


#### Indicator 7. New Antiretroviral Therapy Starts in Fraser Health

Figure 7 BC-CfE Drug Treatment Program Enrollment: New ART Participants in Fraser Health, 2014 Q3-2016 Q2 12



#### Indicator 8, CD4 Cell Count at ART Initiation

Figure 8 CD4 Cell Count at ART Initiation of ART-Naïve DTP Participants in Fraser Health. 2014 Q3–2016 Q2 <sup>13</sup>




- 2 Data Source: Drug Treatment Program Database Limitation: DTP participants are designated to an HA based on most current residence provided by the participant.
- Data Source: Drug Treatment Program Database Limitations: CD4 cell count data is approximately 80% complete.

## Indicator 9. Active and Inactive DTP Participants

Table 3. Distribution of People on ART for Fraser Health, 2016 Q2  $^{14}$ 

Age	< 30	84
	30-39	262
	40-49	508
	≥ 50	913
Gender	Male	1369
	Female	397
Exposure	MSM	573
	PWID	442
Total		1767

Figure 9 Active and Inactive DTP Participants for Fraser Health, 2014 Q3–2016 Q2  $^{15}$ 



Data Source: Drug Treatment Program Database
Limitation: DTP participants are designated to an HA based on most current residence provided by the participant.

Definition: 'On antiretroviral therapy' defined as being on treatment in the current quarter

Active DTP participants: An individual who has had medication prescribed at least once in the preceding quarter.


Inactive DTP participants: Persons no longer prescribed drugs through the HIV/AIDS Drug Treatment Program in the last quarter.

#### Antiretroviral Adherence Level

In this section we present trends in prescription refill adherence levels for individuals in their first year of treatment. Given that the benefits of ART are compromised in the presence of imperfect ART adherence, we expect to see the proportion of persons on ART achieving near perfect adherence (ie.  $\geq$ 95%) to increase with time. Furthermore, it is important that trends in the proportion of ART users achieving prescription refill adherence of  $\geq$ 95% keep pace with new ART starts and increase among those continuing on ART.

#### Indicator 10. Antiretroviral Adherence

Figure 10 Distribution of Individuals by Adherence Level in 1st Year of Therapy, Based on Pharmacy Refill Compliance for Fraser Health, 2014 Q3–2016 Q2 <sup>16</sup>





<sup>16</sup> Data Source: Drug Treatment Program Database Limitation: Prescription refill adherence is used as a proxy for patient adherence.

## Resistance Testing and Results

#### Indicator 11. Resistance Testing and Results

In this section, we present trends in cumulative resistance testing by resistance category: Suppressed (where a DTP participant's viral load is too low to be genotyped); Wild Type (where no HIV treatment resistances were discovered), Never Genotyped, and Resistances to one, two, three, or four HIV treatment classes. Resistance testing prior to ART initiation is recommended in the BC HIV treatment primary care guidelines. Thus, it is expected that trends over time should find all persons enrolled in the DTP to have been genotyped. Trends over time should also show an increase in the proportion of DTP participants achieving a suppressed status and an increase in resistance testing should not lead to an increase in the number of ART resistances occurring.






<sup>17</sup> Data Source: Drug Treatment Program Database

Limitation: DTP participants are designated to a HA based on most current residence provided by the participant.

## AIDS-Defining Illness

#### Indicator 12. AIDS-Defining Illness

Improvements in ART and the expansion of ART province-wide has led to very low numbers of recorded AIDS cases across BC. However, interpreting trends in AIDS cases is challenging as AIDS reporting is passive in BC and it is likely that they are under-reported across all Health Authorities. In addition to under-reporting, methods of reporting AIDS cases are inconsistent across HA's and do not truly reflect the current reality of new AIDS diagnoses. Efforts will need to be made to improve under- and inconsistent reporting of AIDS cases across all HA's. The table below shows AIDS cases using three definitions. First, AIDS cases were defined as the number of physician-reported AIDS defining illness (ADI) in a given year. AIDS case reporting is a passive process and physicians can voluntarily report AIDS cases to the BCCDC or DTP. As such, we have plotted both BCCDC reports and DTP reported AIDS cases. We also show the proportion of persons initiating ART with a CD4<200 cells/µL.




Data Source: DTP AIDS cases are obtained from the Drug Treatment Program Database; BCCDC AIDS cases are obtained from the BC-CDC; CD4<200 at ART initiation data came from the DTP database.

Limitation: AIDs case reporting was investigated using 3 definitions: First, using AIDs cases reported in AIDs case report forms from the DTP; Second, using AIDs cases reported via the BCCDC and third, using a CD4 cell count of <200 cells/µL at time of ART initiation using DTP data. AIDs case reporting is passive in BC, thus; AIDs case reporting is not well captured. The DTP sends out AIDs reporting forms to physicians annually. The BCCDC uses DTP AIDs case reports as well as physician AIDs case reports made directly to the BCCDC. Interpreting AIDs case reports should be done with these limitations in mind. AIDs data is updated annually as very few AIDs cases reports are reported in general and trends would be difficult to notice if reported quarterly.

## HIV-Related Mortality

#### Indicator 13. HIV-Related Mortality

Evidence indicates that individuals who initiate treatment with recommended ART in a timely fashion may live near normal lifespans. Excess mortality among HIV positive persons is, therefore, an important measure of HIV care with a goal of minimizing HIV-related mortality in British Columbia.



#### Limitation

<sup>19</sup> Data Source: BC Vital Statistics

<sup>1.</sup> DTP participants are designated to an HA based on most current residence provided by the participant.

<sup>2.</sup> Mortality data is updated annually.

<sup>3.</sup> The most recent available data was used.

## Appendices

Indicator 1 Episodes (	: Test thousands)	2011 Q3	Q4	2012 Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	2013 Q1	3 Q2	Q3	Q4	2014 Q1	1 Q2	Q3	Q4	2015 Q1	Q2	Q3	, C		016 Q1	Q2
Fraser Heal	lth	9.1	9.0	10.1	10.1	10.8	11.6	13.5	14.0	13.8	12.9	13.6	14.5	14.9	15.1	16.1	15.8	16.8	3 17	.1 1	8.5	19.2
Gender	Female	4.3	4.3	4.8	4.9	5.3	5.7	6.6	6.8	6.8	6.1	6.5	6.9	7.2	7.4	7.6	7.6	8.1	. 8	.1	8.8	9.3
	Male	4.7	4.6	5.3	5.1	5.4	5.7	6.6	7.0	6.7	6.5	6.9	7.1	7.3	7.5	8.1	7.8	8.4	1 8	.6	9.3	9.6
	Other	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Age	< 30	3.6	3.4	3.6	3.6	3.9	3.8	4.1	4.3	4.4	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.5	4.4	4.6	4.6	5.1	. 5	.1	5.1	5.5
	30-39	2.4	2.3	2.6	2.5	2.6	2.8	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.4	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.9	3.8	4.0	) 3	.9	4.6	4.5
	40-49	1.6	1.6	1.8	1.8	1.8	2.1	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.2	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.7	2.6	2.8	3 2	.8	3.1	3.1
	≥ 50	1.4	1.6	2.0	2.1	2.4	2.8	3.4	3.7	3.4	3.1	3.5	3.8	4.0	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.8	3 4	.9	5.4	5.7
POC HIV 7		57	24	54	121	31	158	296	187	182	302	254	426	377	253	423	383	288	3 33	33	347	278
Fraser East		1.3	1.2	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.8	1.7	1.9	2.5	2.4	2.4	2.4	1 2	2.3	2.5	2.4
Female		0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.2	2 1	.2	1.2	1.2
Male		0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.9	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.2	2 1	.1	1.2	1.2
Fraser Nort	th	4.7	4.6	5.1	5.1	5.2	5.7	6.9	7.1	6.8	6.5	6.8	7.0	7.0	6.9	7.4	7.4	7.8	8	3.0	8.8	9.2
Female		2.2	2.2	2.4	2.5	2.5	2.8		3.4	3.4	3.1	3.2	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.5			3.8	4.2	4.5
Male		2.5	2.4	2.7	2.5	2.6	2.8		3.6	3.4	3.3		3.4	3.5	3.5	3.8	3.7	3.9	9 4	1.0	4.5	4.6
Fraser Sout	th	3.1	3.1	3.5	3.7	4.1	4.5		5.2	5.3	4.8		5.7	6.0	5.6	6.4		6.0			7.3	7.5
Female		1.5	1.5	1.6	1.8	2.0			2.5	2.6	2.2			2.9	2.7	2.9	2.9			3.1	3.4	3.6
Male		1.6	1.6	1.9	1.8	2.0					2.3	2.6	2.8	2.9	2.8	3.2	3.0	3.3			3.7	3.7
Indicator 2	: Rate of H	IV Testing	per 1	00,00	0																	
			200	)9	2	010		2011		201	2	20	013		2014		201	5				
Fraser Heal	lth		2251	.2	226	7.6	2	331.6		2795.	.4	331	3.1	35	588.9		4009.	.3				
Fraser East			2068	.8	199	8.6	1	958.4		2248.	.8	247	6.7	29	944.4		3391.	.2				
Fraser Nort	th		2706	.9	271	5.7	2	809.9		3408.	.9	4290.1			4464.7		4848.3					
Fraser Sout	h		1935	.7	199	3.6	2	074.0		2489.9			2815.2			3545.0						
Gender	Female		2151	.6	217	2.9	2	231.8		2769.	.2	336	8.9	30	507.4		4024.	.2				
	Male		2342	.5	235	1.8	2	422.6		2811.	.4	324	7.0	3.5	559.6		3982.	.8				
Age	< 30		2216	.2	222	1.1	2	246.3		2489	.2	268	9.8	27	749.4		3057.	.6				
	30-39		4370	.1	446	1.7	4	510.4		5051	.0	566	2.1	60	086.7		6616.	1				
	40-49		2658	.3	264	3.0	2	717.3		3256	.0	389	0.1	4	182.6		4695.	9				
	≥ 50		1145	.0	119	1.7	1	1320.6		1993.2		2793.0		32	243.2		3698.	.3				
					2011	2	012			2012			20	1.4		,	2015				2017	-
Indicator 3	: New HIV	Diagnoses			2011 O3		012 O1 (	Q2 Q	3 ()4	2013 O1		O3 (	20 04 O		2 03		2015 O1	O2	О3		2016 O1	
Fraser Heal		By Client		ence	15			$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{10}$ 1			14		19 1		16						18	20
Trubel fiedi		By Provide			9		10		7 8		8		15 1				11	11	15	14		
Gender		Female	., 1111	w1 033	4	1	0		2 3		3	2			1 6	2	6	1	2	2	5	3
Gender		Male			11		11		2 3 8 11		11		16		7 10			16	13	10	13	17
Age		< 30			3	3	3		1 2		3	5	6	5		4	3	4	3	4	6	3
Age		30–39			3	1	1		2 2		4	8	6		3 5	4	5	4	3	2	6	3
		30-39 40-49			<i>3</i>	4	3		2 2 5 4		4	6	4		3 1	4	2	6	3	3	4	<i>5</i>
		40=49 ≥ 50			5	1	4		2 6		3	4	3		, i	5	8	3	6	3	2	
Evnous									2 6 6 5		9		3 11				7	8	8		2	9
Exposure		MSM			8	4	6													6	_	_
		PWID			0	0	4		0 1		1	2	5	3		3	0	0	1	0	_	_
		HET			7	5	1		4 6		3	9	3		7 7		9	7	6	6	_	_
		Other			0	0	0		0 1		1	2	0		) 1	0	0	0	0	0	-	_
	NIR/Unkı	nown		0	0	0	1	0 1	0	0	0	0	0 (	0	0	2	2	0	0	-	-	

						,			2011	0.4	201					013	02	02		2014		02	0	201		22 (	22		016	02
Indicator 3		w H							Q3		Q1		Q.				Q2			Q1		Q3		1 Q		)2 (		Q4		Q2
Fraser East				-		it Re ider 1			2	5 2				1 <i>1</i>	1 0	0 0	0	3 2	1 1	2 2	0 1	2 1	2		1 0	1 1	2	1 1	5 3	1 1
Fraser Nort	th			-		it Re			7	4				5	6	6	9	14	9	8	5	5				10	5	5	6	6
11430111011	.11			•		ider 1			4	5				4	2	7	4	8	9	7	4	4			7 7	4	5	6	5	5
Fraser Sout	th			-		it Re			6	0				4	7	2	5	6	9	5	6	9			8	6	8	6	7	13
				•		ider 1			3	1	5	; ;	!	2	6	2	4	4	5	2	5	7	. 2	2	4	6	7	7	8	10
Indicator 4:	: Sta	ge of	HI	V In	fect	ion a	at Ba	iseli	ne																					
	1	Frase						emal				Ν	1ale				< 3	0 ye	ars		3	30-3	9 ve	ars			40-4	49 ye	ears	
		'12				<b>'</b> 11		'13		<b>'</b> 15	<b>'</b> 11	'12		<b>'</b> 14	<b>'</b> 15	<b>'</b> 11				'15	<b>'</b> 11				'15			'13		<b>'</b> 15
Stage 0	10	3	6	6	6	1	0	0	0	1	9	3	6	6	5	4	0	4	4	1	2	1	0	1	1	2	1	1	0	1
Stage 1	8	6	9	9	15	2	1	4	3	3	6	5	5	6	12	1	1	3	4	9	5	0	2	3	2	1	4	1	1	1
Stage 2a	3		5	8	6	1	3	1	2	0	2	2	4	6	6	0	0	2	1	1	2	1	2	3	1	1	1	1	1	2
Stage 2b	11		8	2	6	3	1	1	1	2	8	5	7	1	4	1	2	3	0	1	2	1	0	0	2	3	1	2	0	2
Stage 3 Unknown	16		15	11	11	2	2	1	3 5	1	14 0	7	14	8	10 7	0	0	1 0	1 2	0	4	3	3 2	3 2	2	8	3	6	4 5	4
Total	49		4 47	11 47	8 52		7	7	14	8	39	24	4 40	6 33	44	7	4	13	12	12	15	6	9	12	10	15	11	13		4 14
Total	1				32	1	·	·		١	37				- 1 1	'	1	13	12	12						13	11	13	11	
	(1.1		0 ye		15	<b>'11</b>		MSM		<b>1</b> 5	<sup>(</sup> 11		WID		<b>'1</b> E		Hete					her l						Jnkr '12		
Stage 0	2	12	13	14	<sup>'15</sup>	6	<sup>'12</sup>	6	<sup>'14</sup>	3	11	12 0	0	0	0	3	'12 1	0	0	3	<sup>'11</sup>	0	0	0	0	0	0	<sup>'13</sup>	0	0
Stage 0 Stage 1	1		3	1	3	3	2	3	4	11	1	0	1	2	0	4	3	5	3	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Stage 2a			0	3	2	2	2	2	3	4	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	1	4	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stage 2b	5		3	2	1	4	2	5	0	2	1	1	0	0	1	6	3	3	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stage 3	4	3	5	3	5	5	2	6	5	3	2	3	3	1	0	8	4	5	5	7	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Unknown	(	0	0	2	2	0	1	0	4	3	0	0	2	2	0	1	0	2	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Total	12	10	12	12	16	20	11	22	22	26	5	4	6	6	2	22	14	16	19	22	2	1	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
Indicator 5	. н	V C	asca.	de o	f Ca	re		D.	IACN	IOSE	'D		1 17	NKE	D	ī	RETA	INEI	D		ON	ART		A Di	HER	ENT		SUPP	DECC	ED
Fraser Hea			isca	uc o	1 0	110		D.	IAGI	212			LII	204		- 1	XL IA	1837				743		AD		584		OFF		362
Age Catego		< 30	)							12				10				88			-	79			-	66				50
88-	,	30-								30				30				268				249				209			1	78
		40-	49							59				57				500	6			481				435				366
		≥ 50	)							109	90			106	3			974	4			934				874			7	768
Age Catego	ory	MS	M		<	< 30				4	13			4	1			34	4			30				27				22
and MSM	•				3	30-3	9			8	31			7	9			75	5			67				61				53
Status					4	40-4	9			12	28			12	4			110	6			113				107				92
					2	≥ 50				37	75			36	7			350	6			342				332			3	307
		Noı	n-M	SM	<	< 30					7				7			4	4			4				2				2
					3	30-3	9			12	21			11	9			105	5			97				75				59
					4	40-4	9			26	51			25	5			230	0			215				186			1	.51
						≥ 50				39	)5			38	6			346	6			333				303			2	260
		Unl	cnov	vn		< 30					79			5				50				45				37				26
						30-3				10				10				89				85				73				66
						10-4	9			21				20				160				153				142				.23
					2	≥ 50				32				31				272				259				239				201
Gender		Mal								161				155				1407				342				233				)73
T., :		Fen								51				48				430				401				351				289
Injection Drug Use		PW		WID.						53				52				483				455				386				322
Drug Ose		Unl	n-PV							115 43				113 39				1048 305				001 287				<ul><li>945</li><li>253</li></ul>				325 215
MSM Statu	10	MS		V 11						62				61				581				552				527				74
IVIOIVI Statu	4.0	Nor		SM						78				76				685				649				566				174
		Unl								71				66				571				542				491				16
Health			ser E							32				31				269				258				231				.97
Authority		Fras			h					93				88				792				748				687				606
,		Fras								87				85				776				737				666				559

Indicator 6: Programmat	ic Compliance Scor	e (PCS)								
	2014 Q3	Q4	2	2015 Q1	Q2	Q3	Q <sup>2</sup>	1	2016 Q1	Q2
< 3 CD4 Tests	14.1%	10.3%	9	.3%	7.0%	8.5%	10.7%		17.2%	18.4%
< 3 Viral Load Tests	2.2%	3.4%		.5%	3.5%	4.9%	2.4%		9.2%	10.1%
No Baseline Genotype	3.3%	3.4%		.5%	4.7%	3.7%	2.4%		5.7%	5.1%
Baseline CD4 < 200 cells/		24.1%		.9%	26.7%	19.5%	25.0%		21.8%	23.5%
Non-Recommended ART		3.4%		.2%	1.2%	0.0%	1.2%		3.4%	4.1%
Non Viral suppression at		27.6%		.6%	20.9%	23.2%	21.4%		32.2%	37.8%
PCS Score: 0	38	42	23	41	43	23.270	21.4%		37	
PCS Score: 0 PCS Score: 1	34	30		32	33	30	30		31	37 38
PCS Score: 1 PCS Score: 2		12		32 10					10	12
PCS Score: 2 PCS Score: 3	16				8	5	7			
	4	3		3	2	3	3		9	9
PCS Score: 4 or more	0	0		0	0	0	(		0	2
Total (n=)	92	87		86	86	82	84	ŀ	87	98
Indicator 7: New DTP AF										
First Starts	21	19		30	27	17	23		20	23
Experienced Starts	30	34		37	36	38	37	7	32	38
Indicator 8: CD4 Cell Co	unt at ART Initiatio	on for ARV	-Naïve I	TP Parti	cipants					
CD4 ≥ 500	8	9		13	8	4	10	)	3	12
CD4 350-499	5	3		6	3	2	2		5	1
CD4 200-349	4	3		4	7	2	5		2	4
CD4 50–199	3	3		4	4	4	]		6	1
CD4 < 50	1	1		0	5	4	2		3	1
CD4 Median (cells/µL)	400	490		480	310	180	500		330	517
Total (n=)	21	19		27	27	16	20		19	19
Indicator 9: Active and In	active DTP Particij									
Active DTP Participants	1634	1661		705	1717	1749	1763		1765	1767
Inactive DTP Participants	230	245		250	251	240	246	5	255	257
Indicator 10: Antiretrovi	ral Adherence									
≥ 95%	23	20		23	13	16	19	)	19	22
80% to < 95%	3	5		1	4	3	4	Į.	8	4
40% to < 80%	3	5		2	2	2	1	l	2	3
< 40%	0	0		0	0	0	(	)	1	1
Total (n=)	29	30		26	19	21	24	ŀ	30	30
Indicator 11: Resistance T	Section and Recults									
Suppressed	1155	1123	1	187	1197	1135	1163	ξ	1268	1236
Wild Type	151	148		189	178	194	176		156	145
Never Genotyped	13	9		7	8	8	9		5	3
1-Class	34	39		41	32	37	25		20	21
2-Class	4	4		7	4	8	3		4	2
3-Class	3	1		1	2	2	(		1	0
Total (n=)	1360	1324	1	432	1421	1384	1376		1454	1407
Indicator 12 AIDC D C	ina Illa	2007	2000	2000	2010	2011	2012	2012	2014	2015
Indicator 12: AIDS-Defin	Cases	2007 38	2008 51	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015 25
	Rate per 100,000	2.5	3.3	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.5	1.1	1.2	1.4
	Cases	2.3	26	18	2.0	2.0	1.3	1.1	9	22
	Rate per 100,000	1.6	1.7	1.1	1.5	1.3	0.7	1.1	0.5	1.3
	Cases	27	30	21	30	23	12	1.1	13	-
	Rate per 100,000	1.8	1.9	1.3	1.9	1.4	0.7	0.6	0.8	_
•	•									
Indicator 13: HIV-Related Fraser Health	d Mortality	2004 18	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	
Per 100 HIV+ Population		0.88	1.25	1.09	0.97	0.63	0.44	0.78	0.56	
Per 100,000 Population		1.25	1.25	1.09		0.63	0.44		0.80	
rei 100,000 Population		1.25	1.//	1.54	1.38	0.91	0.04	1.12	0.80	