

HIV MONITORING QUARTERLY REPORT

FOR INTERIOR HEALTH

FIRST QUARTER 2015

















Foreword

As part of the BC Centre for Excellence (BC-CFE) in HIV/AIDS's mandate to evaluate the outcomes of STOP HIV/AIDS programming in BC, we have developed quarterly HIV/AIDS monitoring reports. These reports provide up-to-date data on a variety of key HIV-related surveillance and treatment indicators. Selection of these indicators was achieved through a collaborative process with various Health Authority (HA) representatives. There are six reports in total, one for each HA and one for the province of BC as a whole. In addition, there is a technical report which explains how each HIV indicator is calculated. Data used in these reports come from the British Columbia Centre for Disease Control (BCCDC), MSP billings, hospitalization data from the Discharge Abstract Database, the Sunquest Laboratory database at the Provincial Public Health Microbiology and Reference Laboratory, Providence Health Care laboratory and the BC-CFE Drug Treatment Program (DTP) Database.

The objectives of these reports are to:

- 1. Provide timely HA-specific information on key HIV indicators which will guide and inform HIV leaders and innovators in the development of future HIV interventions and programs which will ultimately lead to decreasing the burden of HIV in BC. The indicators will reflect ongoing or past successful public health interventions and highlight areas in the HIV care spectrum which require further attention and support.
- 2. Highlight limitations in our current data due to incomplete or time lagged data and to develop future strategies to improve complete and timely data capture.

These reports are produced for the benefit of individual HA's. As such, we are enthusiastic about your involvement and cooperation regarding the development of these monitoring reports. Please forward your comments and queries to Irene Day, Director of Operations at the BC-CFE at iday@cfenet.ubc.ca.

List of Indicators

Indicator 1. Testing Episodes

Indicator 2. HIV Testing Rate

Indicator 3. New HIV Diagnoses

Indicator 4. Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis

Indicator 5. HIV Cascade of Care

Indicator 6. Programmatic Compliance Score (PCS)

Indicator 7. New Antiretroviral Starts

Indicator 8. CD4 Cell Count at ART Initiation

Indicator 9. Active and Inactive Drug Treatment Program Participants

Indicator 10. Antiretroviral Adherence Level

Indicator 11. Resistance Testing Results by Resistance Category

Indicator 12. AIDS-Defining Illness

Indicator 13. HIV-Related Mortality

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements and Contributions

BC Provincial STOP Program:

A Note on Monitoring and Interpreting HIV Indicators

11 110te on 1110	mitoring and interpreting first indicators
Indicator 1	HIV Testing Episodes
Figure 1.1	HIV Test Episodes for Interior Health, 2010 Q2-2015 Q1
Figure 1.2	HIV Test Episodes for Interior Health by Gender and Prenatal Status, 2010 Q2–2015 Q1
Figure 1.3	HIV Test Episodes for Interior Health by Age Category, 2010 Q2–2015 Q1
Figure 1.4	Point-of-Care HIV Tests for Interior Health, 2010 Q4–2015 Q1
Figure 1.5	HIV Test Episodes by Hsda for Interior Health, 2010 Q2–2015 Q1
Figure 1.6	HIV Test Episodes for Non-Prenatal Females in Interior Health by HSDA, 2010 Q2–2015 Q1
Figure 1.7	HIV Test Episodes for Males in Interior Health by HSDA, 2010 Q2–2015 Q1
Indicator 2	HIV Testing Rates
Figure 2.1	Rate of HIV Testing for Interior Health and HSDA's, 2009–2014
Figure 2.2	Rate of HIV Testing for Interior Health by Gender, 2009–2014
Figure 2.3	Rate of HIV Testing for Interior Health by Age Category, 2009–2014
Indicator 3	New HIV Diagnoses
Figure 3.1	New HIV Diagnoses for Interior Health, 2010 Q2–2015 Q1
Figure 3.2	New HIV Diagnoses for Interior Health by Gender, 2010 Q2–2015 Q1
Figure 3.3	New HIV Diagnoses for Interior Health by Age Category, 2010 Q2–2015 Q1
Figure 3.4	New HIV Diagnoses for Interior Health by Exposure Category, 2010 Q1–2014 Q2
Figure 3.5	New HIV Diagnoses for Interior Health by HSDA, 2010 Q2–2015 Q1
Indicator 4	Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis
Table 1	Staging Classifications of Infection at Time of HIV Diagnosis Based on CDC HIV Surveillance Case Definitions
Figure 4.1	Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis for Interior Health, 2010–2014
Figure 4.2	Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis for Interior Health by Gender, 2010–2014
Figure 4.3	Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis for Interior Health by Age Category, 2010–2014
Figure 4.4	Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis for Interior Health by Exposure Category, 2010–2013
Indicator 5	HIV Cascade of Care
Figure 5.1	Estimated Cascade of Care for Interior Health, Year Ending 2015 Q1

Figure 5.2	Estimated Cascade of Care for Interior Health by Gender, Year Ending 2015 Q1
Figure 5.3	Estimated Cascade of Care for Interior Health by Age Category, Year Ending 2015 Q1
Figure 5.4	Estimated Cascade of Care for Interior Health by Msm Status, Year Ending 2015 Q1
Figure 5.5	Estimated Cascade of Care for Interior Health by Age Category and Msm Status, Year Ending 2015 Q1
Figure 5.6	Estimated Cascade of Care for Interior Health by History of Idu, Year Ending 2015 Q1
Figure 5.7	Estimated Cascade of Care for Interior Health by HSDA, Year Ending 2015 Q1
Indicator 6	Programmatic Compliance Score (PCS)
Table 2	Probability of Mortality Based on the Programmatic Compliance Score
Figure 6.1	Pcs Components for Interior Health, 2013 Q2–2015 Q1
	First-Year CD4 Measurement
	First-Year VL measurement
	Baseline Resistance Testing
	Recommended Antiretroviral Therapy (ART)
	Baseline CD4 ≥ 200 cells/μL
	Suppression at 9 Months
Figure 6.2	Historical Trends for Pcs Score for Interior Health, 2013 Q2–2015 Q1
Indicator 7	New Antiretroviral Therapy Starts in Interior Health
Figure 7	BC-CfE Drug Treatment Program Enrollment: New Antiretroviral Participants for Interior Health, 2013 Q2–2015 Q1
Indicator 8	CD4 Cell Count at ART Initiation
Figure 8	CD4 Cell Count at ART Initiation for Interior Health, 2013 Q2-2015 Q1
Indicator 9	Active and Inactive Drug Treatment Program (DTP) Participants
Table 3	Distribution of People on ART in Interior Health, 2015 Q1
Figure 9	Active and Inactive DTP Participants for Interior Health, 2013 Q2-2015 Q1
Indicator 10	Antiretroviral Adherence
Figure 10	Distribution of Individuals by Adherence Level in 1st Year of Therapy, Based on Pharmacy Refill Compliance for Interior Health, 2013 Q2–2015 Q1
Indicator 11	n · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Figure 11	Resistance Testing and Results
C	Cumulative Resistance Testing Results by Resistance Category for Interior Health, 2013 Q2–2015 Q1
Indicator 12	Cumulative Resistance Testing Results by Resistance Category
	Cumulative Resistance Testing Results by Resistance Category for Interior Health, 2013 Q2–2015 Q1
Indicator 12	Cumulative Resistance Testing Results by Resistance Category for Interior Health, 2013 Q2–2015 Q1 AIDs-Defining Illness
Indicator 12 Figure 12	Cumulative Resistance Testing Results by Resistance Category for Interior Health, 2013 Q2–2015 Q1 AIDs-Defining Illness AIDs Case Rate and Reports for Interior Health, 2007–2014

Acknowledgements and Contributions



British Columbia Centre for Excellence in HIV/AIDS (BC-CFE): The BC-CFE is responsible for the conception, preparation and ongoing review of this quarterly report. The BC-CFE provides the data and outputs for Indicators 5 (HIV Cascade of Care), 6 (Programmatic Compliance Score), 7 (New Antiretroviral Starts), 8 (CD4 Cell Count at ART Initiation), 9 (Active and Inactive Drug Treatment Program Participants), 10 (Antiretroviral Adherence Level), 11 (Resistance Testing Results by Resistance Category), 12 (AIDS-Defining Illness), and 13 (HIV-Related Mortality). The BC-CFE database provides PVL and CD4 cell count testing data, as well as ART use. All PVL measurements in BC are performed at the St Paul's Hospital virology laboratory, thus PVL data capture is 100%. An estimated 80% of all CD4 count measurements performed in the province are captured in the BC-CFE data holdings. The STOP HIV/AIDS Technical Monitoring Committee–BC-CFE is responsible for oversight of the monitoring report. Ana Prado writes and compiles the monitoring report. Guillaume Colley, Dr. Viviane Lima and Nada Gataric perform analysis of Indicators 5–13. James Nakagawa is responsible for publishing and editing. This report was conceived and guided by Dr. Julio Montaner.



British Columbia Centre for Disease Control (BCCDC): The BCCDC provides the data and outputs for Indicator 1 (HIV Testing Episodes), Indicator 2 (HIV Testing Rate), Indicator 3 (New HIV Diagnoses), Indicator 4 (Stage of HIV at Diagnosis) and Indicator 12 (AIDS-Defining Illness). The BCCDC is the single provincial agency that centralizes all HIV surveillance through the Public Health Microbiology and Reference Laboratory, which does more than 90% of all HIV screening tests in BC and all confirmatory testing. Theodora Consolacion and Dr. Jason Wong are responsible for outputs for Indicators 1–4.

Other Data Sources:

The above databases were supplemented with:

- (I) The BC Vital Statistics database which was used to calculate Indicator 5. The HIV Cascade of Care and Indicator 13. HIV-Related Mortality.
- (II) Linkage and preparation of the de-identified individual-level database used for calculating Indicator 5. The HIV Cascade of Care was facilitated by the British Columbia Ministry of Health.
- (III) The Statistics Canada database: BC and HIV-positive population counts were acquired through the statistics Canada website to calculate HIV-specific mortality rates for Indicator 13. HIV-Related Mortality.

Membership of the STOP HIV/AIDS Technical Monitoring Committee-BC-CfE

Dr. Rolando Barrios, Chair, BC-CFE

Kate Heath, BC-CFE

Bohdan Nosyk, BC-CFE

Viviane Dias Lima, BC-CFE

Irene Day, BC-CFE

Dr. Jason Wong, BCCDC

Dr. Mel Kradjen, BCCDC

Salman Klar, FHA

Corey Green, FNHA

Jennifer May-Hadford, іна

James Haggerstone, NHA

Dr. Neora Pick, PHSA

Dr. Reka Gustafson, vсна

Melanie Rusch, VIHA

The Seek and Treat for Optimal Prevention (STOP) HIV/AIDS BC Provincial Program: A Note on Monitoring and Interpreting HIV Indicators

The Seek and Treat for Optimal Prevention (STOP) of HIV/AIDS programme is a provincial initiative to improve HIV diagnosis and care delivery in BC through increased HIV-specific funding to all HSDA's across BC. The STOP provincial programme is an expansion of a four-year STOP pilot project which was implemented in two Health Service Delivery Areas in March 2010; the Vancouver HSDA which bears the largest burden of the HIV epidemic in the province and the Northern Interior HSDA which bears a high burden of HIV-related mortality. The STOP pilot project demonstrated the urgent need for improved efforts in early diagnosis of HIV and timely initiation of antiretroviral therapy (ART) initiation.

The expansion to a province-wide programme was announced on November 30th 2013 by the BC Ministry of Health with roll out of funding beginning on April 1st, 2013. This funding is intended to be used in the implementation and evaluation of HIV-related diagnosis and care initiatives within individual HA's. Goals of the project include: 1. A reduction in the number of new HIV infections in BC; 2. Improvements in the quality, effectiveness, and reach of HIV prevention services; 3. An increase in early diagnosis of HIV; 4. A reduction in AIDs cases and HIV-related mortality.

The goals of HA-led STOP-funded initiatives are to work toward achieving these goals. To these ends some outcome measures or indicators of progress have been drafted that should be considered in the design and implementation phases of these initiatives.

HIV Testing Episodes and Rates

In this section, the number of HIV test episodes and point of care (POC) HIV tests conducted each quarter in BC is shown. In general terms the goal is to increase the number of tests performed and to maximize testing efficiency. Test episodes are allocated by region according to where the test is performed.

Indicator 1. HIV Testing Episodes

Figure 1.1 HIV Test Episodes for Interior Health




Figure 1.2 HIV Test Episodes by Gender and Prenatal Status for Interior Health ¹




Figure 1.3 HIV Test Episodes by Age Category for Interior Health 1.2





Figure 1.4 Point-of-Care HIV Tests for Interior Health



Data Source: The BC Public Health Microbiology and Reference Laboratory (BCPHMRL) courtesy of the BC Centre for Disease Control (BCCDC).

Limitations:

- *i* Repeat tests in individuals who test using various identifiers may not be identified and these individuals may be counted more than once.
- ii In Interior Health, POC testing data are available for May 2011 forward.
- 2 Testing does not include point of care tests.





Figure 1.6 HIV Test Episodes for Non-prenatal Females in Interior Health by HSDA ¹ 1.8 -1.6 -1.4 -# HIV Test Episodes (thousands) 1.2 -Okanagan 1.0 -0.8 -Thompson Cariboo Shuswap 0.6 -0.4 -Kootenay Boundary 0.2 -East Kootenary 0.0 -Q3 Q4 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 01 Q2 Q1 Q1 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 East Kootenay (Female) 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 Kootenay Boundary (Female) 0.2 0.3 0.2 0.2 0.3 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.2 0.2 Okanagan (Female) 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.1 1.0 1.1 1.1 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.0 1.0 1.2 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.3 1.4 1.4 1.6 Thompson Cariboo Shuswap (Female) 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.6 0.6 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.8 0.7 0.8 0.8



Indicator 2. HIV Testing Rates

Figure 2.1 Rate of HIV Testing for Interior Health and HSDAs ²






Figure 2.2 Rate of HIV Testing by Gender for Interior Health ²







² Testing does not include point of care tests.

New HIV Diagnoses

Trends in HIV diagnoses by gender and exposure category are described. Interpreting HIV diagnoses must be done with consideration that trends are influenced by both changes in testing rate as well as changes in transmission rates. It is important to note that new HIV diagnoses cases and rates are not synonymous with HIV incidence as a person may have become infected with HIV long before they tested positive for HIV. However, as there is no reliable method for measuring HIV incidence we follow trends in HIV diagnoses.

Indicator 3. New HIV Diagnoses






³ Data Source: BCCDC "By Provider Address" is graphed as dashed line in same colour.

Figure 3.3 New HIV Diagnoses for Interior Health by Age Category ³ # HIV Diagnoses 30 - 39≥ 50 < 30 Q2 Q3 04 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q1 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 < 30 0 0 2 2 0 30-39 0 0 0 0 40-49 2 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 ≥ 50 0 0

Figure 3.4 New HIV Diagnoses for Interior Health by Exposure Category 3,4 3 # HIV Diagnoses **MSM** IDU NIR/Unknown Other Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q1 Q2 Q4 Q1 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 MSM (men who have sex with men) IDU (injection drug user) 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 HET (heterosexual) 0 0 2 3 Other (other exposure identified) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 NIR/Unknown (no identified exposure)



³ Data Source: BCCDC. "By Provider Address" is graphed as dashed line in same colour.

⁴ MSM=men who have sex with men; IDU= injection drug user; HET=heterosexual. NIR=No identified risk/exposure.

Stage of HIV infection at diagnosis

Classification of stage of HIV infection, in the absence of information regarding recent testing history, is reliant on clinical information available at the time of diagnosis, including first CD4+ cell count, laboratory results suggestive of acute HIV infection, and clinical presentation with an AIDS-defining illness (Table 1). The benefits of Treatment as Prevention (TasP) are maximized when antiretroviral therapy (ART) is initiated at high CD4 cell counts. Accordingly, it is preferable that individuals newly diagnosed with HIV be in the early stages of HIV infection (stage 0 or 1) to allow for early ART initiation.

N.B. Interpretation of stage of HIV infection at diagnosis should proceed with caution. Early increases in diagnosis at late stage (i.e., low CD4 counts) may represent a "catching up" of previously missed long term infected individuals rather than a trend toward diagnosis at later stage of infection.

Indicator 4. Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis

Table 1 Staging Classifications of Infection at Time of HIV Diagnosis Based on CDC HIV Surveillance Case Definitions

Stage	Criteria												
0	previous	Laboratory criteria met for acute HIV infection, or previous negative or indeterminate HIV test within 180 days of first confirmed positive HIV test.											
1			CD4 ≥500		N. AIDC								
2a			CD4 350-499	and	No AIDS case report								
2b	N anct2		CD4 200-349		торого								
3	Stage 0 not met	and	(CD4 <200	or	AIDS case report								
Unknown		,	No available CD4	and	No AIDS case report								

Figure 4.1 Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis for Interior Health, 2010–2014 ⁵





Figure 4.2 Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis by Gender for Interior Health, 2010–2014 ⁵



Data Source: вссьс

Figure 4.3 Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis by Age Category for Interior Health, 2010–2014 ⁵





Figure 4.4 Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis by Exposure Category for Interior Health, 2010–2013 5.6




⁶ MSM=men who have sex with men; IDU= injection drug user; HET=heterosexual. NIR=No identified risk/exposure.

Indicator 5. HIV Cascade of Care

The success of seek, test, treat and retain (STTR) strategies like STOP is reliant on early diagnosis of HIV, linking newly diagnosed HIV-positive persons with ongoing care, retaining persons in HIV-care; initiating ART based on best evidenced practices and maintaining optimal ART adherence to ensure a suppressed viral load. These stages of HIV-care can be summarized as: 1. HIV diagnosis, 2. Linked to HIV care, 3. Retained in HIV care, 4. On ART, 5. Adherent to ART and 6. Achieving a suppressed VL; collectively, they are referred to as the cascade of care. Leakage between any of these stages of HIV-care means a reduction in the potential of ART as a benefit to the HIV-positive individual and as an HIV transmission prevention method on a population level. Thus, when interpreting trends in the cascade of care, we strive to see increases along each step of the cascade of care (i.e. reduced attrition) with the ultimate goal being 100% within each stage of the cascade. Monitoring the Cascade of Care provides a picture as to where deficiencies lie in the delivery and uptake of HIV-care. In this section we present the cascade of care for the year 2012 in BC overall and stratified by sex and age for each Health Authority.

Figure 5.1 Estimated Cascade of Care for Interior Figure 5.2 Estimated Cascade of Care for Interior Health by Gender, Year Ending 2015 Q1 7



Limitations: HA assignment is based on the most recent HA of residence of the patient, if not available of the HIV-care provider. If the most recent HA of residence is not updated then the designated HA may be incorrect.


NB: Transgender has been assigned to their biological sex.

⁷ Data is for the period 2014 Q2-2015 Q1. Data Sources:

i British Columbia Centre for Excellence Drug Treatment Program (DTP) Database (ARV use, VL and CD4 count).

ii Administrative data (ex. MSP billings; hospitalization data from the Discharge Abstract Database (DAD)).






Limitations: HA assignment is based on the most recent HA of residence of the patient, if not available of the HIV-care provider. If the most recent HA of residence is not updated then the designated HA may be incorrect.

⁸ Data is for the period 2014 Q2-2015 Q1. Data Sources:

i British Columbia Centre for Excellence Drug Treatment Program (DTP) Database (ARV use, VL and CD4 count).

ii Administrative data (ex. MSP billings; hospitalization data from the Discharge Abstract Database (DAD)).





Data Sources:

Limitations: HA assignment is based on the most recent HA of residence of the patient, if not available of the HIV-care provider. If the most recent HA of residence is not updated then the designated HA may be incorrect.


Recent updates to the DTP database have allowed for more comprehensive information on HIV risk group category. As a result, 2014 Q4 data may differ significantly from preceding reports in terms of total numbers ascribed to each risk group.

Data is for the period 2014 Q2-2015 Q1.

i British Columbia Centre for Excellence Drug Treatment Program (DTP) Database (ARV use, VL and CD4 count).

ii Administrative data (ex. MSP billings; hospitalization data from the Discharge Abstract Database (DAD)).

Figure 5.5 Estimated Cascade of Care for Interior Health by Age Category and MSM Status, Year Ending 2015 Q1 9




Data is for the period 2014 Q2–2015 Q1. Data Sources:

British Columbia Centre for Excellence Drug Treatment Program (DTP) Database (ARV use, VL and CD4 count).

Limitations: HA assignment is based on the most recent HA of residence of the patient, if not available of the HIV-care provider. If the most recent HA of residence is not updated then the designated HA may be incorrect. Recent updates to the DTP database have allowed for more comprehensive information on HIV risk group category. As a result, 2014 Q4 data may differ significantly from preceding reports in terms of total numbers ascribed to each risk group.

ii Administrative data (ex. MSP billings; hospitalization data from the Discharge Abstract Database (DAD)).





Data Sources:

Limitations: HA assignment is based on the most recent HA of residence of the patient, if not available of the HIV-care provider. If the most recent HA of residence is not updated then the designated HA may be incorrect.


Recent updates to the DTP database have allowed for more comprehensive information on HIV risk group category. As a result, 2014 Q4 data may differ significantly from preceding reports in terms of total numbers ascribed to each risk group.

Data is for the period 2014 Q2-2015 Q1.

i British Columbia Centre for Excellence Drug Treatment Program (DTP) Database (ARV use, VL and CD4 count).

ii Administrative data (ex. MSP billings; hospitalization data from the Discharge Abstract Database (DAD)).

Figure 5.7 Estimated Cascade of Care for Interior Health by HSDA, Year Ending 2015 Q1 9



Data Sources:

Limitations: HA assignment is based on the most recent HA of residence of the patient, if not available of the HIV-care provider. If the most recent HA of residence is not updated then the designated HA may be incorrect.

Recent updates to the DTP database have allowed for more comprehensive information on HIV risk group category. As a result, 2014 Q4 data may differ significantly from preceding reports in terms of total numbers ascribed to each risk group.

⁹ Data is for the period 2014 Q2-2015 Q1.

i British Columbia Centre for Excellence Drug Treatment Program (DTP) Database (ARV use, VL and CD4 count).

ii Administrative data (ex. MSP billings; hospitalization data from the Discharge Abstract Database (DAD)).

Indicator 6. The Programmatic Compliance Score (PCS)

The Programmatic Compliance Score (PCS) is a summary measure of risk of future death, immunologic failure and virologic failure from all causes for people who are starting ART for the first time. It is composed of patient- and physician-driven effects. PCS scores range from o−6 with higher scores indicative of poorer health outcomes and greater risk of death. Table 1 provides mortality, immunologic failure and virologic failure probabilities for given PCS scores. We interpret an individual with a PCS≥4 as being 22 times more likely to die, almost 10 times more likely to have immunologic failure and nearly 4 times as likely to demonstrate virologic failure compared to those individuals with a PCS score of o. A detailed description of how the PCS score is calculated and its validation can be found in the technical report. In short, PCS scores are calculated by summing the results (yes=1, no=0) of six un-weighted non-performance indicators based on IAS−USA treatment guidelines:

- having <3 CD4 cell count tests in the first year after starting antiretroviral therapy (ART);
- 2. having <3 plasma viral load (VL) tests in the first year after starting ART;
- not having drug resistance testing done prior to starting ART;
- 4. starting on a non-recommended ART regimen;
- 5. starting therapy with CD4<200 cells/μL; and
- 6. not achieving viral suppression within 9 months since ART initiation.

In this section we provide PCs scores and their components over time for the province of BC. A decline to 0%, (i.e., all individuals having a score of o) is the eventual goal.

Table 2. The Probability of Mortality, Immunologic Failure and Virologic Failure based on the Programmatic Compliance Score

Programmatic	Mortality Risk Ratio (95% Confidence Interval)	Immunologic Failure Risk Ratio (95% CI)	Virologic Failure Risk Ratio
Compliance Score	(95% Confidence interval)	Katio (40% CI)	(95% CI)
0 (Best score)	1 (-)	1 (-)	1 (-)
1	3.81 (1.73-8.42)	1.39 (1.04–1.85)	1.32 (1.05–1.67)
2	7.97 (3.70–17.18)	2.17 (1.54–3.04)	1.86 (1.46–2.38)
3	11.51 (5.28-25.08)	2.93 (1.89-4.54)	2.98 (2.16-4.11)
4 or more (Worst score)	22.37 (10.46–47.84)	9.71 (5.72–16.47)	3.80 (2.52–5.73)

Reference: Lima VD, Le A, Nosyk B, Barrios R, Yip B, et al. (2012) Development and Validation of a Composite Programmatic Assessment Tool for HIV Therapy. PLoS ONE 7(11): e47859. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047859

Figure 6.1 PCS Components for Interior Health, 2013 Q2-2015 Q1 10





Figure 6.2 Historical Trends for PCS Score for Interior Health, 2013 Q2-2015 Q1 10.11



Data Source: British Columbia Centre for Excellence Drug Treatment Program (DTP) Database. Limitations: CD4 cell count capture is approximately 80%.

Each quarter's data is calculated as the sum of the 4 quarters leading up to it. e.g. 2013 Q1 is calculated from 2012 Q2 – 2013 Q1. NB: A score of o is the best score and a score of 4 or more is the worst score.

Antiretroviral Uptake

In this section we present trends in ART uptake, the number and proportion of new HIV treatment initiations and the number of active and inactive DTP participants. Trends in ART uptake should be interpreted under the consideration of changing BC HIV treatment guidelines. BC HIV treatment guidelines are updated regularly by the BC-CFE Therapeutic Guidelines Committee and reflect those of the International AIDS Society. Most recent changes were made in 2012 and HIV treatment is now recommended for all HIV-positive adults regardless of CD4 cell count; as evidence demonstrates that early initiation of HIV treatment maximizes both the individual's health outcomes as well as the potential of ART as a form of HIV transmission prevention at a population level. As such, trends in the number and proportion of persons on ART and new ART starts (in both naïve and experienced persons) are expected to increase over time at higher CD4 cell counts.

Indicator 7. New Antiretroviral Therapy Starts in Interior Health

Figure 7 BC-CfE Drug Treatment Program
Enrollment: New ART Participants in
Interior Health, 2013 Q2-2015 Q1 12



Indicator 8. CD4 Cell Count at ART Initiation

Figure 8 CD4 Cell Count at ART Initiation of ART-Naïve DTP Participants in Interior Health, 2013 Q2–2015 Q1 ¹³

The majority of cells in this figure have $n \le 5$, which is considered statistically insignificant as well as a possible risk to patient privacy. For this reason, this figure has been omitted. Authorized parties may contact the British Columbia Centre for Excellence in Hiv/Aids to obtain this information.

¹² Data Source: Drug Treatment Program Database

Limitation: DTP participants are designated to an HA based on most current residence provided by the participant.


¹³ Data Source: Drug Treatment Program Database Limitations: CD4 cell count data is approximately 80% complete.

Indicator 9. Active and Inactive DTP Participants

Table 3. Distribution of People on ART for Interior Health, 2015 Q1 14

Age	< 30	14
	30-39	54
	40-49	103
	≥ 50	270
Gender	Male	345
	Female	96
Exposure	MSM	125
	IDU	147
Total		441

Figure 9 Active and Inactive DTP Participants for Interior Health, 2013 Q2-2015 Q1 15



14 Data Source: Drug Treatment Program Database Limitation: DTP participants are designated to an HA based on most current residence provided by the participant.

Recent updates to the DTP database provides for improved classification allowing some individuals previously classified as 'unknown' to be reclassified into specific risk groups. This update is in effect from 2014Q4 and may result in noticeable changes of numbers in each risk group category compared to previous reports.

Definitions:

'On antiretroviral therapy' defined as being on treatment in the current quarter

'Unknown/not stated' defined as being on treatment in the current quarter, and city of residence unknown

15 Active DTP participants: An individual who has had medication prescribed at least once in the preceding quarter.


Inactive DTP participants: Persons no longer prescribed drugs through the HIV/AIDS Drug Treatment Program in the last quarter.

Antiretroviral Adherence Level

In this section we present trends in prescription refill adherence levels for individuals in their first year of treatment. Given that the benefits of ART are compromised in the presence of imperfect ART adherence, we expect to see the proportion of persons on ART achieving near perfect adherence (ie. \geq 95%) to increase with time. Furthermore, it is important that trends in the proportion of ART users achieving prescription refill adherence of \geq 95% keep pace with new ART starts and increase among those continuing on ART.

Indicator 10. Antiretroviral Adherence

Figure 10 Distribution of Individuals by Adherence Level in 1st Year of Therapy, Based on Pharmacy Refill Compliance for Interior Health, 2013 Q2–2015 Q1 ¹⁶



¹⁶ Data Source: Drug Treatment Program Database Limitation: Prescription refill adherence is used as a proxy for patient adherence.

Indicator 11. Resistance Testing and Results

In this section, we present trends in cumulative resistance testing by resistance category: Suppressed (where a DTP participant's viral load is too low to be genotyped); Wild Type (where no HIV treatment resistances were discovered), Never Genotyped, and Resistances to one, two or three HIV treatment classes. Resistance testing prior to ART initiation is recommended in the BC HIV treatment primary care guidelines. Thus, it is expected that trends over time should find all persons enrolled in the DTP to have been genotyped. Trends over time should also show an increase in the proportion of DTP participants achieving a suppressed status and an increase in resistance testing should not lead to an increase in the number of ART resistances occurring.





Figure 11 Cumulative Resistance Testing Results by Resistance

Limitation: DTP participants are designated to an HA based on most current residence provided by the participant.

¹⁷ Data Source: Drug Treatment Program Database

Indicator 12. AIDS-Defining Illness

Improvements in ART and the expansion of ART province-wide has led to very low numbers of recorded AIDS cases across BC. However, interpreting trends in AIDS cases is challenging as AIDS reporting is passive in BC and it is likely that they are under reported across all Health Authorities. In addition to under reporting, methods of reporting AIDS cases are inconsistent across HA's and do not truly reflect the current reality of new AIDS diagnoses. Efforts will need to be made to improve under and inconsistent reporting of AIDS cases across all HA's. The table below shows AIDS cases using three definitions. First, AIDS cases were defined as the number of physician-reported AIDS defining illness (ADI) in a given year. AIDS case reporting is a passive process and physicians can voluntarily report AIDS cases to the BCCDC or DTP. As such, we have plotted both BCCDC reports and DTP reported AIDS cases. We also show the proportion of persons initiating ART with a CD4<200 cells/μL.




Data Source: DTP AIDS cases are obtained from the Drug Treatment Program Database; BCCDC AIDS cases are obtained from the BCCDC; CD4<200 at ART initiation data came from the DTP database.

Limitation: AIDs case reporting was investigated using 3 definitions: First, using AIDs cases reported in AIDs case report forms from the DTP; Second, using AIDs cases reported via the BCCDC and third, using a CD4 cell count of <200 cells/µL at time of ART initiation using DTP data. AIDs case reporting is passive in BC, thus; AIDs case reporting is not well captured. The DTP sends out AIDs reporting forms to physicians annually. The BCCDC uses DTP AIDs case reports as well as physician AIDs case reports made directly to the BCCDC. Interpreting AIDs case reports should be done with these limitations in mind. AIDs data is updated annually as very few AIDs cases reports are reported in general and trends would be difficult to notice if reported quarterly.

Indicator 13. HIV-Related Mortality

Evidence indicates that individuals who initiate treatment with recommended ART in a timely fashion may live near normal lifespans. Excess mortality among HIV positive persons is, therefore, an important measure of HIV care with a goal of minimizing HIV-related mortality in British Columbia.



Limitation:

¹⁹ Data Source: BC Vital Statistics

^{1.} DTP participants are designated to an HA based on most current residence provided by the participant.

^{2.} Mortality data is updated annually.

^{3.} The most recent available data was used.

Appendices

Indicator		2010			2011				2012				2013				2014				2015
Episodes	(thousands)	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1
Interior H	ealth	5.6	5.5	5.9	6.0	5.5	5.6	5.9	5.9	5.7	5.9	5.9	6.1	6.4	6.3	6.6	7.2	7.1	7.4	7.6	8.5
Gender	Female	3.6	3.6	3.8	3.9	3.6	3.6	3.8	3.8	3.6	3.8	3.7	3.9	4.0	3.9	4.1	4.5	4.2	4.5	4.5	5.1
	Male	1.8	1.8	1.9	2.0	1.8	1.8	2.0	2.0	1.9	2.1	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.4	2.6	2.8	2.7	2.9	3.2
	Other	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1
Female (P	renatal)	1.7	1.7	1.8	1.9	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.8	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.7	1.9	1.6	1.8	1.7	1.9
Female (N	Ion-prenatal)	1.9	1.9	2.1	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.1	2.0	2.1	2.0	2.1	2.3	2.2	2.4	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.8	3.2
Age	< 30	2.4	2.4	2.3	2.5	2.2	2.3	2.5	2.3	2.2	2.4	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.8
	30-39	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.8	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.8	1.6	1.7	1.6	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8	2.0	1.9	2.1	2.0	2.3
	40-49	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.1
	≥ 50	0.9	0.9	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.4	1.3	1.5	1.5	1.7	1.7	1.8	2.2
POC HIV (not in the				0	0	10	34	21	26	28	20	27	38	38	40	37	93	86	163	202	180
East Koote	enay	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7
Female	(Non-prenatal)	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3
Male		0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Kootenay	Boundary	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.9
Female	(Non-prenatal)	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4
Male		0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4
Okanagan	ı	2.9	2.8	2.9	3.1	2.8	3.0	3.1	3.2	3.1	3.2	3.0	3.1	3.3	3.2	3.4	3.6	3.6	3.7	3.8	4.3
Female	(Non-prenatal)	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.6
Male		1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.9	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.4	1.6
Thompson Shuswap	n Cariboo	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.9	1.8	1.9	2.1	2.1	2.3	2.3	2.6
Female	(Non-prenatal)	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.0
Male		0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.9	1.0

Indicator 2: Rate of HIV Testing per 100,000

		2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
All Interior	Health	2937.6	2988.6	3004.5	3080.3	3433.5	3862.1
East Kooter	nay	2732.4	2888.1	2763.8	2842.5	2991.5	3444.6
Kootenay B	oundary	3131.6	3166.6	3059.0	3002.0	3448.2	3941.7
Okanagan		3072.1	3041.9	3078.5	3223.7	3529.2	3883.5
Thompson	Cariboo Shuswap	2727.9	2875.8	2951.5	2962.7	3430.0	3946.0
Gender	Female	3744.0	3778.1	3796.8	3887.2	4261.7	4698.1
	Male	1940.3	2015.4	2069.1	2194.9	2535.8	2965.7
Age	< 30	3913.0	3838.9	3896.3	3766.3	4038.6	4345.3
	30-39	7130.6	7555.3	7811.7	8062.2	8688.2	9366.0
	40-49	2695.0	2759.1	2856.5	3048.9	3398.9	3984.0
	≥ 50	1110.8	1177.9	1177.3	1346.5	1750.5	2134.1

Indicator 3: New HIV	Diagnoses	())											_				_				_
		Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1
Interior Health	By Client Residence	1	3	3	1	4	3	3	5	5	0	2	1	4	4	4	3	4	4	6	3
	By Provider Address	1	2	3	1	3	2	3	5	5	0	2	1	5	4	4	3	2	4	5	4
Gender	Female	0	3	1	0	2	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
	Male	1	0	2	1	2	2	3	4	4	0	2	1	3	3	3	2	4	3	6	3
Age	< 30	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	2	2	0	1	1	2	2
	30-39	0	1	0	1	0	3	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	3	2	0
	40-49	1	1	2	0	3	0	1	2	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0
	≥ 50	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	1	3	0	0	2	1	0	1	1
Exposure	MSM	1	0	1	0	0	1	2	1	2	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	2	2	_	-
	IDU	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	_	-
	HET	0	3	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	0	0	1	2	2	3	1	1	1	_	_
	Other	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	_	_
	NIR/Unknown	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	_	_
East Kootenay	By Client Residence	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
•	By Provider Address	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kootenay Boundary	By Client Residence	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	By Provider Address	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Okanagan	By Client Residence	1	1	0	1	3	0	3	1	3	0	1	1	0	3	2	2	1	2	2	1
	By Provider Address	1	1	0	1	2	1	3	1	4	0	1	1	1	3	2	2	0	2	3	0
Thompson Cariboo	By Client Residence	0	2	3	0	1	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	1	2	1	2	2	4	1
Shuswap	By Provider Address	0	1	3	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	1	2	1	1	2	2	3

Indicator 4: Stage of HIV	Intection at Baseline
---------------------------	-----------------------

	Ir	nteri	or H	ealtl	h		Fe	male			N	1ale				< 30) yea	ars		:	30-3	9 ye	ars		4	40-4	19 ye	ars	
	'10	'11	'12	'13	'14	'10	'11 '	12 '1	3 '14	'10	'11	'12	'13	' 14	'10	11	'12	'13	' 14	'10	'11 '	12	'13	' 14	'10	' 11	'12	'13	'14
Stage 0	1	2	0	1	5	1	0	0) 1	0	2	0	1	4	0	0	0	1	3	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Stage 1	2	1	1	3	3	1	0	0	1 1	1	1	1	2	2	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0
Stage 2a	1	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	1 0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
Stage 2b	1	1	2	2	1	1	0	1	0 0	0	1	1	2	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
Stage 3	4	4	8	7	4	0	1	1	1 0	4	3	7	6	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	2	2	3	2	3	1	1
Unknown	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
Total	10	9	12	14	15	3	1	2	3 2	7	8	10	11	12	1	0	3	5	3	0	4	1	3	6	7	3	5	2	1
		> 5	0 yea	re			M	SM			T	DU			L	Iotos	rose	ادىرى		Ot	her l	Evn	00111	٠	N	ID/I	Jnkr	101471	,
	'10	'11			' 14	'10			'13	'10					1	ICICI	LUSC.	Auai											
Stage 0	0								13	10	11	1'	12	'13	'10	'1	1 ':	12	' 13	'10			12	'13	'10				' 13
U		0	0	0	1	0	1	. 0	13	0		1 ' 0	12 0	'13 0	'10 1	'1	1 ': 1	12	'13 0		'11	l *:				'1			
Stage 1	0	1	0	0	1 2	0			1 0) ('10 1 1	<u>'1</u>	1 ': 1 1			'10	'11	l ':	12	'13	'10	'1	1 '	12	' 13
Stage 1 Stage 2a	0	1 0			1 2 1		(0	1) (0		0	'10 1 1 0		1 ': 1 1 0	0	0	'10 0	'11 (l [*] :))	0	'13 0	'10 0	' 1	1 'i	0	' 13
Stage 2a	-	1	0	0	1 2 1 0	0	(0 0	1 0	0) (0		0	1		1 1	0	0	°10 0 0	'11 ((1 ¹ 1))	0 0	°13 0 0	10 0 0	<u>'1</u>	1 '1 0 0	0 0	13 0
Stage 2a Stage 2b	-	1	0	0	1	0	(0 0 0	1 0 0	0 1 0) (0 0 0		0 0 0	1 1 0		1 1	0 0 0	0 2 1	'10 0 0	'11 ((())))	0 0 0	°13 0 0 0	'10 0 0 0	<u>'1</u>	1 '1 0 0 0	0 0 0	'13 0 1 0
Stage 2a	-	1	0 0	0 0 0	1	0 1 0	(0 0 0 0 1 3	1 0 0	0 1 0	()	0 0 0		0 0 0 0	1 1 0 1	(1 1	0 0 0 0	0 2 1 0	'10 0 0 0	'11 (((()))))	12 0 0 0 0	'13 0 0 0 0	'10 0 0 0	<u>'1</u>	1 '1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	'13 0 1 0

< 3 Viral Load Tests 11.1% 14.8% 12.5% 12.5% 25.0% 23.5% 22.2% No Baseline Genotype 7.4% 7.4% 4.2% 4.2% 0.0% 5.9% 5.6%	ndicator 5: HI	IV Cascade of	Care	DIAGNOSED	LINKED	RETAINED	Ol	N ART A	ADHERENT	SUPPRESSED
30-39 69 67 57 51 46 40-49 135 131 107 100 87 ≥ 50 346 335 282 270 259 Age Category MSM < 30 ≤ 5 ≤ 5 ≤ 5 ≤ 5 and MSM < 30-39 11 11 8 6 6 Status 40-49 25 24 21 20 17 ≥ 50 104 101 92 89 85 Non-MSM < 30 ≤ 5 ≤ 5 ≤ 5 ≤ 5 30-39 21 20 19 17 16 40-49 53 51 46 43 35 40-49 53 51 46 43 35 2 50 134 132 113 107 103 Unknown < 30 18 13 9 9 7 30-39 38 37 30 28 24 40-49 57 56 40 37 35 ≥ 50 108 102 77 74 71 Gender Male 444 427 352 332 308 Female 131 126 107 98 91 Injection IDU 185 182 157 147 133 Drug Use Non-IDU 262 253 226 213 202 Unknown 128 118 76 70 64 MSM Status MSM 145 140 124 115 108 MSM Status MSM 145 140 124 115 108 MSM Status Associated Non-MSM 209 205 179 167 154 Unknown 221 208 157 148 137 Health East Kootenay 32 30 21 21 20 Authority Cokanagan 288 278 240 231 215 Thompson Cariboo 188 181 145 128 116 Indicator 6: Programmatic Compliance Score (PCS) Shiuswap 111 11 108 125% 125% 25.0% 23.5% 23.2% No Baseline G-notype 7.4% 7.4% 12.5% 12.5% 25.0% 23.5% 23.2% No Baseline G-notype 7.4% 7.4% 12.5% 12.5% 25.0% 23.5% 23.2% No Baseline G-notype 7.4% 7.4% 4.2% 4.2% 0.0% 5.9% 5.6% Age Category 7.4% 7.4% 4.2% 4.2% 0.0% 5.9% 5.6% Age Category 7.4% 7.4% 12.5% 12.5% 25.0% 23.5% 23.2% Age Category 7.4% 7.4% 12.5% 12.5% 25.0% 23.5% 23.2% Age Category 7.4% 7.4% 12.5% 12.5% 25.0% 23.5% 23.2% Age Category 7.4% 7.4% 12.5% 12.5% 25.0% 23.5% 23.2% Age Category 7.4% 7.4% 12.5% 12.5% 25.0% 23.5% 23.2% Age Category 7.4% 7.4% 12.5% 12.5%	nterior Health	1		574	553	459		430	399	327
Name	ge Category	< 30		24	19	12		9	7	6
Section Sec		30-39		69	67	57		51	46	34
Age Category and MSM Status MSM 30-39 11 11 11 8 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6		40-49		135	131	107		100	87	70
and MSN Status 30-39 11 11 8 6 6 Status 40-49 25 24 21 20 17 ≥ 50 104 101 92 89 85 Non-MSM 30 ≤ 5 <td></td> <td>≥ 50</td> <td></td> <td>346</td> <td>335</td> <td>282</td> <td></td> <td>270</td> <td>259</td> <td>217</td>		≥ 50		346	335	282		270	259	217
Status 30-39 11 11 8 0 0 17 2 50 104 101 92 89 85 Non-MSM < 30		MSM	< 30	≤ 5	≤ 5	≤ 5		≤ 5	≤ 5	≤ 5
A0-49			30-39	11	11	8		6	6	5
Non-MSM	atus		40-49	25	24	21		20	17	17
Non-IDU 185 182 187 197 167 168 188 181 197 198			≥ 50	104	101	92		89	85	73
MSM Status MSM MSM MSM MSM MSM MSM MSM Status MSM S		Non-MSM	< 30	≤ 5	≤ 5	≤ 5		≤ 5	≤ 5	≤ 5
Second			30-39	21	20	19		17	16	9
Vinknown < 30 18 13 9 9 7 30-39 38 37 30 28 24 40-49 57 56 40 37 35 ≥ 50 108 102 77 74 71 Gender Male 444 427 352 332 308 Female 131 126 107 98 91 Injection IDU 185 182 157 147 133 Drug Use Non-IDU 262 253 226 213 202 Unknown 128 118 76 70 64 MSM Status MSM 145 140 124 115 108 Non-MSM 209 205 179 167 154 Unknown 221 208 157 148 137 Health East Kootenay 32 30 21 21 20 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>40-49</td> <td>53</td> <td>51</td> <td>46</td> <td></td> <td>43</td> <td>35</td> <td>26</td>			40-49	53	51	46		43	35	26
Solution Solutio			≥ 50	134	132	113		107	103	86
		Unknown	< 30	18	13	9		9	7	6
Sender Male Male			30-39	38	37	30		28	24	20
Gender Male Female 444 131 427 126 352 107 332 98 308 91 Injection Injection Drug Use Non-IDU 185 262 182 253 157 226 147 213 133 202 213 202 202 203 202 203 204 202 203 203 205 179 167 154 157 148 137 Health Authority Kootenay Boundary Okanagan 288 278 288 278 278 240 231 231 215 215 215 216 Thompson Cariboo Shuswap 188 181 145 128 116 Indicator 6: Programmatic Compliance Score (PCS) 2013 Q2 Q3 Q4 2014 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 < 3 CD4 Tests			40-49	57	56	40		37	35	27
Female			≥ 50	108	102	77		74	71	58
Injection IDU	ender	Male		444	427	352		332	308	253
Drug Use Non-IDU 262 253 226 213 202 Unknown 128 118 76 70 64 MSM Status MSM 145 140 124 115 108 Non-MSM 209 205 179 167 154 Unknown 221 208 157 148 137 Health East Kootenay 32 30 21 21 20 Authority Kootenay Boundary 67 63 53 50 48 Okanagan 288 278 240 231 215 Thompson Cariboo 188 181 145 128 116 Indicator 6: Programmatic Compliance Score (PCS) 2013 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 < 3 CD4 Tests		Female		131	126	107		98	91	74
MSM Status MSM 145 140 124 115 108 Non-MSM 209 205 179 167 154 154 167 167	njection	IDU		185	182	157		147	133	110
MSM Status MSM 145 140 124 115 108 Non-MSM 209 205 179 167 154 Unknown 221 208 157 148 137 Health East Kootenay 32 30 21 21 20 Authority Kootenay Boundary 67 63 53 50 48 Okanagan 288 278 240 231 215 Thompson Cariboo Shuswap 188 181 145 128 116 Indicator 6: Programmatic Compliance Score (PCS) 2013 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 < 3 CD4 Tests	rug Use	Non-IDU		262	253	226		213	202	166
Non-MSM 209 205 179 167 154 Unknown 221 208 157 148 137 Health East Kootenay 32 30 21 21 20 Authority Kootenay Boundary 67 63 53 50 48 Okanagan 288 278 240 231 215 Thompson Cariboo 188 181 145 128 116 Indicator 6: Programmatic Compliance Score (PCS) Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Call Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Value Val		Unknown		128	118	76		70	64	51
Unknown 221 208 157 148 137 Health Authority East Kootenay 32 30 21 21 20 Authority Kootenay Boundary 67 63 53 50 48 Okanagan 288 278 240 231 215 Thompson Cariboo Shuswap 188 181 145 128 116 Indicator 6: Programmatic Compliance Score (PCS) 2013 Q2 Q3 Q4 2014 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 < 3 CD4 Tests	ISM Status	MSM		145	140	124		115	108	95
Health East Kootenay 32 30 21 21 20 Authority Kootenay Boundary 67 63 53 50 48 Okanagan 288 278 240 231 215 Thompson Cariboo Shuswap 188 181 145 128 116 16 Shuswap 16 Vertical Shuswap 17 Vertical Shuswap 188 181 145 128 116 128 116 128 116 128		Non-MSM		209	205	179		167	154	121
Authority Kootenay Boundary 67 63 53 50 48 Okanagan 288 278 240 231 215 Thompson Cariboo 188 181 145 128 116 Indicator 6: Programmatic Compliance Score (PCS) 2013 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 < 3 CD4 Tests 11.1% 7.4% 12.5% 16.7% 31.3% 35.3% 33.3% < 3 Viral Load Tests 11.1% 14.8% 12.5% 12.5% 25.0% 23.5% 22.2% No Baseline Genotype 7.4% 7.4% 4.2% 4.2% 0.0% 5.9% 5.6%		Unknown		221	208	157		148	137	111
Okanagan 288 278 240 231 215 Thompson Cariboo Shuswap 188 181 145 128 116 Indicator 6: Programmatic Compliance Score (PCS) 2013 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 < 3 CD4 Tests 11.1% 7.4% 12.5% 16.7% 31.3% 35.3% 33.3% < 3 Viral Load Tests 11.1% 14.8% 12.5% 12.5% 25.0% 23.5% 22.2% No Baseline Genotype 7.4% 7.4% 4.2% 4.2% 0.0% 5.9% 5.6%	lealth	East Kootena	у	32	30	21		21	20	14
Thompson Cariboo Shuswap 188 181 145 128 116 Indicator 6: Programmatic Compliance Score (PCS) 2013 Q2 Q3 Q4 2014 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 < 3 CD4 Tests	uthority	Kootenay Boo	undary	67	63	53		50	48	38
Shuswap Indicator 6: Programmatic Compliance Score (PCS) 2013 Q2 Q3 Q4 2014 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 < 3 CD4 Tests		Okanagan		288	278	240		231	215	174
Indicator 6: Programmatic Compliance Score (PCS) 2013 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q5 Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q4 Q1 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q4 Q1 Q4 Q1 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q4 Q1 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q4 Q1 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q4 Q1 Q4 Q1 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q4 Q1 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q4 Q1 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q4 Q1 Q1 Q4 Q1 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q4 Q1 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q4 Q1 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q4 Q1 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q4 Q1 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q4 Q1 Q1 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q4 Q1 Q1 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q4 Q1 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q4 Q1 Q1 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1		Thompson Ca	ariboo	188	181	145		128	116	101
2013 Q2 Q3 Q4 2014 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 < 3 CD4 Tests		Shuswap								
2013 Q2 Q3 Q4 2014 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 < 3 CD4 Tests	ndicator 6: Pr	ogrammatic C	Compliance S	Score (PCS)						
< 3 CD4 Tests			201	.3	04	2014	02	O2	04	2015
< 3 Viral Load Tests	3 CD4 Tests									
No Baseline Genotype 7.4% 7.4% 4.2% 4.2% 0.0% 5.9% 5.6%		Tests								
•										
Date in the CD 1 1 200 cents at 10.770 10.770 20.570 20.570 20.570		• •								
Non-Recommended ART 7.4% 11.1% 8.3% 8.3% 12.5% 11.8% 5.6%		•								
PCS Score: 0 7 7 7 9 4 5 6		111								
PCS Score: 1 13 12 10 9 6 6 5										
PCS Score: 2 6 6 5 3 1 1 2										
PCS Score: 3 0 0 0 1 1 2 2										
PCS Score: 4 or more 1 2 2 2 4 3 3		r more	1	2	2	2	4	3		
Total (n=) 27 27 24 24 16 17 18			27	27	24	24	16	17		

Indicator 7: New D'I	ΓP ARV Participants								
	2013	02	04	2014		01	02	04	2015
T: Ct t .	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1 9		Q2	Q3 5	Q4	Q1 9
First Starts	4	5	5	-		3		5	
Experienced Starts	8	8	2	16		5	5	6	14
Indicator 8: CD4 Ce	ell Count at ART Initiati	on for ARV-	Naïve DTP I	Participants					
CD4 ≥ 500	-	-	-	2		-	-	-	1
CD4 350-499	_	_	_	0		_	-	-	3
CD4 200-349	_	_	_	4		-	-	-	2
CD4 50-199	_	_	_	3		_	-	-	0
CD4 < 50	_	_	_	0		-	-	-	2
CD4 Median (cells/µ	- L)	_	_	270		_	-	_	375
Total (n=)	≤ 5	≤ 5	≤ 5	9		≤ 5	≤ 5	≤ 5	8
Indicator 9: Active a	and Inactive DTP Partici	pants							
Active DTP Particip		415	408	431		431	432	428	441
Inactive DTP Partici	ipants 75	78	79	75		75	78	80	80
Indicator 10: Antire	troviral Adherence								
≥ 95%	12	2	_	6		_	4	_	7
80% to < 95%	1	3	_	0		_	2	_	1
40% to < 80%	1	1	_	1		_	1	_	2
< 40%	0	0	_	1		_	0	_	0
Total (n=)	14	6	≤ 5	8		≤ 5	7	≤ 5	10
Indicator 11. Design	ance Testing and Desults								
Suppressed	ance Testing and Results 263	245	217	277		233	293	252	302
Wild Type	40	39	37	54		35	39	32	36
Never Genotyped	2	2	2	1		3	2	2	3
1-Class	11	8	5	6		4	7	7	5
2-Class	2	2	2	3		2	6	3	1
3-Class	2	0	0	1		0	1	1	2
Total (n=)	320	296	263	342		277	348	297	349
Indicator 12: AIDS-	Defining Illness	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
CD4 < 200 at	Cases	18	11	≤ 5	8	11	9	9	7
ART initiation	Rate per 100,000	2.6	1.5	0.6	1.1	1.5	1.2	1.2	1.0
AIDS Cases	Cases	9	9	6	≤ 5	≤ 5	≤ 5	≤ 5	≤ 5
(DTP Reports)	Rate per 100,000	1.3	1.3	0.8	0.1	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.4
AIDS Cases	Cases	6	11	7	≤ 5	≤ 5	6	≤ 5	_
(BCCDC Reports)	Rate per 100,000	0.9	1.5	1.0	0.3	0.6	0.8	0.6	_
Indicator 13: HIV-R	Related Mortality	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Interior Health	ciuccu ivioi taiity	9	8	17	≤ 5	<u>2008</u> ≤ 5	<u>2009</u> ≤ 5	<u>2010</u> ≤ 5	7
Per 100 HIV+ Popul	lation	1.47	1.28	2.68	0.62	0.75	0.74	0.58	1.00
Per 100,000 Populat		1.28	1.12	2.40	0.55	0.69	0.68	0.54	0.95
- 51 100,000 1 op alat		1.20			0.00	0.07	0.00	0.01	0.75