

## HIV MONITORING QUARTERLY REPORT

FOR VANCOUVER COASTAL HEALTH

FOURTH QUARTER 2015

















#### Foreword

As part of the BC Centre for Excellence (BC-CFE) in HIV/AIDS's mandate to evaluate the outcomes of STOP HIV/AIDS programming in BC, we have developed quarterly HIV/AIDS monitoring reports. These reports provide up-to-date data on a variety of key HIV-related surveillance and treatment indicators. Selection of these indicators was achieved through a collaborative process with various Health Authority (HA) representatives. There are six reports in total, one for each HA and one for the province of BC as a whole. In addition, there is a technical report which explains how each HIV indicator is calculated. Data used in these reports come from the British Columbia Centre for Disease Control (BCCDC), MSP billings, hospitalization data from the Discharge Abstract Database, the Sunquest Laboratory database at the Provincial Public Health Microbiology and Reference Laboratory, Providence Health Care laboratory and the BC-CFE Drug Treatment Program (DTP) Database.

The objectives of these reports are to:

- 1. Provide timely HA-specific information on key HIV indicators which will guide and inform HIV leaders and innovators in the development of future HIV interventions and programs which will ultimately lead to decreasing the burden of HIV in BC. The indicators will reflect ongoing or past successful public health interventions and highlight areas in the HIV care spectrum which require further attention and support.
- 2. Highlight limitations in our current data due to incomplete or time lagged data and to develop future strategies to improve complete and timely data capture.

These reports are produced for the benefit of individual HA's. As such, we are enthusiastic about your involvement and cooperation regarding the development of these monitoring reports. Please forward your comments and queries to Irene Day, Director of Operations at the BC-CFE at iday@cfenet.ubc.ca.

## List of Indicators

Indicator 1. HIV Testing Episodes

Indicator 2. HIV Testing Rate

Indicator 3. New HIV Diagnoses

Indicator 4. Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis

Indicator 5. HIV Cascade of Care

Indicator 6. Programmatic Compliance Score (PCS)

Indicator 7. New Antiretroviral Therapy Starts

Indicator 8. CD4 Cell Count at ART Initiation

Indicator 9. Active and Inactive Drug Treatment Program (DTP) Participants

Indicator 10. Antiretroviral Adherence

Indicator 11. Resistance Testing and Results

Indicator 12. AIDS-Defining Illness

Indicator 13. HIV-Related Mortality

## Table of Contents

#### Acknowledgements and Contributions

#### **BC Provincial STOP Program:**

A Note on Monitoring and Interpreting HIV Indicators

Indicator 1	HIV Testing Episodes All HIV Testing Episodes reflect non-prenatal tests. All prenatal tests have been removed.
Figure 1.1	HIV Test Episodes for Vancouver Coastal Health, 2011 Q1–2015 Q4
Figure 1.2	HIV Test Episodes for Vancouver Coastal Health by Gender, 2011 Q1–2015 Q4
Figure 1.3	HIV Test Episodes for Vancouver Coastal Health by Age Category, 2011 Q1–2015 Q4
Figure 1.4	Point-of-Care HIV Tests for Vancouver Coastal Health, 2011 Q1-2015 Q4
Figure 1.5	HIV Test Episodes by HSDA for Vancouver Coastal Health, 2011 Q1-2015 Q4
Figure 1.6	HIV Test Episodes for Non-Prenatal Females in Vancouver Coastal Health by HSDA, 2011 Q1–2015 Q4
Figure 1.7	HIV Test Episodes for Males in Vancouver Coastal Health by HSDA, 2011 Q1–2015 Q4
Indicator 2	HIV Testing Rates All HIV Testing Rates reflect non-prenatal tests. All prenatal tests have been removed.
Figure 2.1	Rate of HIV Testing for Vancouver Coastal Health and HSDA's, 2009–2015
Figure 2.2	Rate of HIV Testing for Vancouver Coastal Health by Gender, 2009–2015
Figure 2.3	Rate of HIV Testing for Vancouver Coastal Health by Age Category, 2009–2015
Indicator 3	New HIV Diagnoses
Figure 3.1	New HIV Diagnoses for Vancouver Coastal Health, 2011 Q1-2015 Q4
Figure 3.2	New HIV Diagnoses for Vancouver Coastal Health by Gender, 2011 Q1-2015 Q4
Figure 3.3	New HIV Diagnoses for Vancouver Coastal Health by Age Category, 2011 Q1–2015 Q4
Figure 3.4	New HIV Diagnoses for Vancouver Coastal Health by Exposure Category, 2011 Q1–2015 Q2
Figure 3.5	New HIV Diagnoses for Vancouver Coastal Health by HSDA, 2011 Q1-2015 Q4
Indicator 4	Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis
Table 1	Staging Classifications of Infection at Time of HIV Diagnosis Based on CDC HIV Surveillance Case Definitions
Figure 4.1	Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis for Vancouver Coastal Health, 2010–2014
Figure 4.2	Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis for Vancouver Coastal Health by Gender, 2010–2014
Figure 4.3	Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis for Vancouver Coastal Health by Age Category, 2010–2014
Figure 4.4	Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis for Vancouver Coastal Health by Exposure Category, 2010–2014
Indicator 5	HIV Cascade of Care
Figure 5.1	Estimated Cascade of Care for Vancouver Coastal Health, Year Ending 2015 Q4
Figure 5.2	Estimated Cascade of Care for Vancouver Coastal Health by Gender, Year Ending 2015 Q4
Figure 5.3	Estimated Cascade of Care for Vancouver Coastal Health by Age Category, Year Ending 2015 Q4

Figure 5.4 Estimated Cascade of Care for Vancouver Coastal Health by Msm Status, Year Ending 2015 Q4 Figure 5.5 Estimated Cascade of Care for Vancouver Coastal Health by Age Category and Msm Status, Year Ending 2015 Q4 Figure 5.6 Estimated Cascade of Care for Vancouver Coastal Health by PWID Status, Year Ending 2015 Q4 Figure 5.7 Estimated Cascade of Care for Vancouver Coastal Health by HSDA, Year Ending 2015 Q4 **Indicator 6 Programmatic Compliance Score (PCS)** Table 2 Probability of Mortality, Immunologic Failure and Virologic Failure Based on the Programmatic Compliance Score Figure 6.1 Pcs Components for Vancouver Coastal Health, 2014 Q1-2015 Q4 First-Year CD4 Measurement First-Year VL measurement Baseline Resistance Testing Recommended Antiretroviral Therapy (ART) Baseline CD<sub>4</sub>  $\geq$  200 cells/ $\mu$ L Suppression at 9 Months Figure 6.2 Historical Trends for Pcs Score for Vancouver Coastal Health, 2014 Q1-2015 Q4 **Indicator** 7 New Antiretroviral Therapy Starts in Vancouver Coastal Health Figure 7 BC-CfE Drug Treatment Program Enrollment: New Antiretroviral Participants for Vancouver Coastal Health, 2014 Q1-2015 Q4 **Indicator 8 CD4 Cell Count at ART Initiation** Figure 8 CD4 Cell Count at Art Initiation for Vancouver Coastal Health, 2014 Q1-2015 Q4 **Indicator 9** Active and Inactive Drug Treatment Program (DTP) Participants Table 3 Distribution of People on ART in Vancouver Coastal Health, 2015 Q4 Active and Inactive DTP Participants for Vancouver Coastal Health, 2014 Q1-2015 Q4 Figure 9 Indicator 10 **Antiretroviral Adherence** Distribution of Individuals by Adherence Level in 1st Year of Therapy, Figure 10 Based on Pharmacy Refill Compliance for Vancouver Coastal Health, 2014 Q1-2015 Q4 Indicator 11 **Resistance Testing and Results** Figure 11 Cumulative Resistance Testing Results by Resistance Category for Vancouver Coastal Health, 2014 Q1-2015 Q4 Indicator 12 **AIDs-Defining Illness** Figure 12 AIDS Case Rate and Reports for Vancouver Coastal Health, 2007–2014 Indicator 13 **HIV-Related Mortality** Figure 13 HIV-Related Deaths by Year for Vancouver Coastal Health, 2004–2011

## Acknowledgements and Contributions



British Columbia Centre for Excellence in HIV/AIDS (BC-CFE): The BC-CFE is responsible for the conception, preparation and ongoing review of this quarterly report. The BC-CFE provides the data and outputs for Indicators 5 (HIV Cascade of Care), 6 (Programmatic Compliance Score), 7 (New Antiretroviral Starts), 8 (CD4 Cell Count at ART Initiation), 9 (Active and Inactive Drug Treatment Program Participants), 10 (Antiretroviral Adherence Level), 11 (Resistance Testing Results by Resistance Category), 12 (AIDS-Defining Illness), and 13 (HIV-Related Mortality). The BC-CFE database provides PVL and CD4 cell count testing data, as well as ART use. All PVL measurements in BC are performed at the St Paul's Hospital virology laboratory, thus PVL data capture is 100%. An estimated 80% of all CD4 count measurements performed in the province are captured in the BC-CFE data holdings. The STOP HIV/AIDS Technical Monitoring Committee–BC-CFE is responsible for oversight of the monitoring report. Ana Prado writes and compiles the monitoring report. Guillaume Colley, Dr. Viviane Lima and Nada Gataric perform analysis of Indicators 5–13. James Nakagawa is responsible for publishing and editing. This report was conceived and guided by Dr. Julio Montaner.



British Columbia Centre for Disease Control (BCCDC): The BCCDC provides the data and outputs for Indicator 1 (HIV Testing Episodes), Indicator 2 (HIV Testing Rate), Indicator 3 (New HIV Diagnoses), Indicator 4 (Stage of HIV at Diagnosis) and Indicator 12 (AIDS-Defining Illness). The BCCDC is the single provincial agency that centralizes all HIV surveillance through the Public Health Microbiology and Reference Laboratory, which does more than 90% of all HIV screening tests in BC and all confirmatory testing. Theodora Consolacion and Dr. Jason Wong are responsible for outputs for Indicators 1–4.

#### Other Data Sources:

The above databases were supplemented with:

- (I) The BC Vital Statistics database which was used to calculate Indicator 5. The HIV Cascade of Care and Indicator 13. HIV-Related Mortality.
- (II) Linkage and preparation of the de-identified individual-level database used for calculating Indicator 5. The HIV Cascade of Care was facilitated by the British Columbia Ministry of Health.
- (III) The Statistics Canada database: BC and HIV-positive population counts were acquired through the statistics Canada website to calculate HIV-specific mortality rates for Indicator 13. HIV-Related Mortality.

## Membership of the STOP HIV/AIDS Technical Monitoring Committee-BC-CfE

Dr. Rolando Barrios, Chair, BC-CFE

Kate Heath, BC-CFE

Bohdan Nosyk, BC-CFE

Viviane Dias Lima, BC-CFE

Irene Day, BC-CFE

Dr. Jason Wong, BCCDC

Dr. Mel Kradjen, BCCDC

Salman Klar, FHA

Jennifer May-Hadford, іна

James Haggerstone, NHA

Dr. Neora Pick, PHSA

Dr. Reka Gustafson, vсна

Melanie Rusch, VIHA

# The Seek and Treat for Optimal Prevention (STOP) HIV/AIDS BC Provincial Program: A Note on Monitoring and Interpreting HIV Indicators

The Seek and Treat for Optimal Prevention (STOP) of HIV/AIDS programme is a provincial initiative to improve HIV diagnosis and care delivery in BC through increased HIV-specific funding to all Health Service Delivery Areas (HSDA'S) across BC. The STOP provincial programme is an expansion of a four-year STOP pilot project which was implemented in two Health Service Delivery Areas in March 2010; the Vancouver HSDA which bears the largest burden of the HIV epidemic in the province and the Northern Interior HSDA which bears a high burden of HIV-related mortality. The STOP pilot project demonstrated the urgent need for improved efforts in early diagnosis of HIV and timely initiation of antiretroviral therapy (ART) initiation.

The expansion to a province-wide programme was announced on November 30th 2013 by the BC Ministry of Health with roll out of funding beginning on April 1st, 2013. This funding is intended to be used in the implementation and evaluation of HIV-related diagnosis and care initiatives within individual HA's. Goals of the project include: 1. A reduction in the number of new HIV infections in BC; 2. Improvements in the quality, effectiveness, and reach of HIV prevention services; 3. An increase in early diagnosis of HIV; 4. A reduction in AIDs cases and HIV-related mortality.


The goals of HA-led STOP-funded initiatives are to work toward achieving these goals. To these ends some outcome measures or indicators of progress have been drafted that should be considered in the design and implementation phases of these initiatives.

## HIV Testing Episodes and Rates

In this section, the number of HIV test episodes and point of care (POC) HIV tests conducted each quarter in BC is shown. In general terms the goal is to increase the number of tests performed and to maximize testing efficiency. Test episodes are allocated by region according to where the test is performed.

#### Indicator 1. HIV Testing Episodes

Figure 1.1 HIV Test Episodes for Vancouver Coastal Health



Vancouver Coastal Health 16.4 16.2 21.9 19.1 21.8 21.5 24.4 24.5 30.1 32.1 30.7 29.8 35.0 38.4 43.0 41.6 45.5 44.9 45.4 44.7

HIV Test Episodes by Gender for Vancouver Coastal Health 1,2 Figure 1.2 21





Figure 1.3 HIV Test Episodes by Age Category for Vancouver Coastal Health 1,2 19 -18 -17 -16 -15 -14 -# HIV Test Episodes (thousands) 13 -12 -11 -10

8 -7 -< 30 5 30-39 ≥ 50 3 – Q1 01 Q2 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3 04 Q1 Q2 Q3 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q3 Q4 2012 2013 2015 2011 2014 < 30 5.0 5.8 6.4 6.2 7.2 7.1 8.3 8.1 8.8 9.0 10.2 9.9 10.2 10.3 11.4 11.1 5.8 7.7 8.2 30-39 6.7 6.5 6.2 7.6 7.6 40-49 3.4 3.6 3.8 5.1 4.6 4.6 5.4 6.1 5.9 6.5 4.8 5.7 ≥ 50 4.8 6.0 6.4 9.1 10.2 9.0 9.0 11.0 14.0 16.0 16.0 18.1 17.5 16.7 17.0



Q1 Q2 Q3

2013

Vancouver Coastal Health 1.0 2.0 5.9 2.4 2.1 1.9 2.1 1.7 2.0 1.8 2.1 1.9 2.1 2.0 2.4 2.0 1.7 1.6 1.5 1.5

2012

#### Limitations:

- Repeat tests in individuals who test using various identifiers may not be identified and these individuals may be counted more than once.
- Poc testing data are available from the fourth quarter of 2010 forward.
- Testing does not include point of care tests.

Q1 Q2 Q3 Q4 Q1 Q2 Q3

2011

Q1 Q2

2014

Q1 Q2

2015

Data Source: The BC Public Health Microbiology and Reference Laboratory (BCPHMRL) courtesy of the BC Centre for Disease Control (BCCDC).




Figure 1.6 HIV Test Episodes for Non-prenatal Females in Vancouver Coastal Health by HSDA 1.2






Figure 1.7 HIV Test Episodes for Males in Vancouver Coastal Health by HSDA 1,2



## Indicator 2. HIV Testing Rates

Rate of HIV Testing for Vancouver Coastal Health and HSDAs  $^{\mathrm{2}}$ Figure 2.1 15000 14000 13000 HIV Testing Episodes per 100,000 Population 12000 11000 10000 9000 8000 7000 6000 Vancouver All Vancouver Coastal Health 5000 4000 North Shore / Coast Garibaldi 3000 2000 Richmond 1000 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 4127.0 4143.0 4344.6 5862.6 8135.6 10335.9 11636.4 All Vancouver Coastal Health 8084.2 North Shore / Coast Garibaldi 2667.7 2669.4 2773.1 3280.9 4002.0 6297.0 3007.5 8119.4 8706.3 Richmond 1763.8 1841.8 1911.7 2428.2 5489.7 5498.5 5781.1 8033.1 11485.3 12760.0 14078.8 Vancouver



16000 15000 14000 -13000 12000 -11000 -HIV Testing Episodes per 100,000 Population 10000 9000 -8000 -30-39 7000 -6000 -5000 40-49 < 30 4000 -3000 ≥ 50 2000 1000 2009 2012 2013 2014 2011 2010 2015 5544.3 < 30 4310.0 4298.4 4496.3 6689.4 7710.5 8577.6 30-39 7342.7 7559.9 7673.0 9566.8 12236.5 14287.9 15803.7 40-49 4523.6 4449.6 4657.6 6246.3 9034.3 10939.6 12094.0 2192.7 7300.3 10797.4 ≥ 50 1845.1 1912.6 4199.3 12391.0

Figure 2.3 Rate of HIV Testing by Age Category for Vancouver Coastal Health  $^{\rm 2}$ 

Testing does not include point of care tests.

## New HIV Diagnoses

Trends in HIV diagnoses by gender and exposure category are described. Interpreting HIV diagnoses must be done with consideration that trends are influenced by both changes in testing rate as well as changes in transmission rates. It is important to note that new HIV diagnoses cases and rates are not synonymous with HIV incidence as a person may have become infected with HIV long before they tested positive for HIV. However, as there is no reliable method for measuring HIV incidence we follow trends in HIV diagnoses.

#### Indicator 3. New HIV Diagnoses

Figure 3.1 New HIV Diagnoses for Vancouver Coastal Health <sup>3</sup>





Figure 3.2 New HIV Diagnoses for Vancouver Coastal Health by Gender <sup>3</sup>



<sup>3</sup> Data Source: BCCDC. When present, "By Provider Address" is graphed as dashed line in same colour.


Figure 3.3 New HIV Diagnoses for Vancouver Coastal Health by Age Category <sup>3</sup> 30-39 # HIV Diagnoses ≥ 50 Q2 Q4 Q1 Q3 Q1 Q3 Q1 Q2 Q1 Q3 Q1 < 30 30-39 40-49 ≥ 50 

Figure 3.4 New HIV Diagnoses for Vancouver Coastal Health by Exposure Category 3,4



<sup>3</sup> Data Source: BCCDC. When present, "By Provider Address" is graphed as dashed line in same colour.

<sup>4</sup> MSM=men who have sex with men; PWID= people who inject drugs; HET=heterosexual. NIR=No identified risk/exposure.



Data Source: BCCDC. When present, "By Provider Address" is graphed as dashed line in same colour.

## Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis

Classification of stage of HIV infection, in the absence of information regarding recent testing history, is reliant on clinical information available at the time of diagnosis, including first CD4+ cell count, laboratory results suggestive of acute HIV infection, and clinical presentation with an AIDS-defining illness (Table 1). The benefits of Treatment as Prevention (TasP) are maximized when antiretroviral therapy (ART) is initiated at high CD4 cell counts. Accordingly, it is preferable that individuals newly diagnosed with HIV be in the early stages of HIV infection (stage 0 or 1) to allow for early ART initiation.

N.B. Interpretation of Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis should proceed with caution. Early increases in diagnosis at late stage (i.e., low CD4 counts) may represent a "catching up" of previously missed long term infected individuals rather than a trend toward diagnosis at later stage of infection.

Indicator 4. Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis

Table 1 Staging Classifications of Infection at Time of HIV Diagnosis Based on CDC HIV Surveillance Case Definitions

Stage	Criteria												
0	previous r	aboratory criteria met for acute HIV infection, or previous negative or indeterminate HIV test within 180 lays of first confirmed positive HIV test.											
1			CD4 ≥500		N AIDO								
2a		and	CD4 350-499	and	No AIDS case report								
2b	N anct?		CD4 200-349		торого								
3	Stage 0 not met		( CD4 <200	or	AIDS case report )								
Unknown			No available CD4	and	No AIDS case report								

Figure 4.1 Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis for Vancouver Coastal Health, 2010–2014 <sup>5</sup>





Figure 4.2 Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis by Gender for Vancouver Coastal Health, 2010–2014 <sup>5</sup>



Data Source: вссос

Figure 4.3 Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis by Age Category for Vancouver Coastal Health, 2010–2014 <sup>5</sup>





Figure 4.4 Stage of HIV Infection at Diagnosis by Exposure Category for Vancouver Coastal Health, 2010–2014 5.6




<sup>5</sup> Data Source: BCCDC

<sup>6</sup> MSM=men who have sex with men; PWID=people who inject drugs; HET=heterosexual. NIR=No identified risk/exposure.

#### HIV Cascade of Care

#### Indicator 5. HIV Cascade of Care

The success of seek, test, treat and retain (STTR) strategies like STOP is reliant on early diagnosis of HIV, linking newly diagnosed HIV-positive persons with ongoing care, retaining persons in HIV-care; initiating ART based on best evidenced practices and maintaining optimal ART adherence to ensure a suppressed viral load. These stages of HIV-care can be summarized as: 1. HIV diagnosis, 2. Linked to HIV care, 3. Retained in HIV care, 4. On ART, 5. Adherent to ART and 6. Achieving a suppressed VL; collectively, they are referred to as the cascade of care. Leakage between any of these stages of HIV-care means a reduction in the potential of ART as a benefit to the HIV-positive individual and as an HIV transmission prevention method on a population level. Thus, when interpreting trends in the cascade of care, we strive to see increases along each step of the cascade of care (i.e. reduced attrition) with the ultimate goal being 100% within each stage of the cascade. Monitoring the Cascade of Care provides a picture as to where deficiencies lie in the delivery and uptake of HIV-care. In this section we present the cascade of care for the period 2015 Q1–2015 Q4 in Vancouver Coastal Health and stratified by sex and age.




<sup>7</sup> Data is for the period 2015 Q1–2015 Q4. Data Sources:

- i British Columbia Centre for Excellence Drug Treatment Program (DTP) Database (ARV use, VL and CD4 count).
- ii Administrative data (ex. MSP billings; hospitalization data from the Discharge Abstract Database (DAD)).

Limitations: HA assignment is based on the most recent HA of residence of the patient, if not available of the HIV-care provider. If the most recent HA of residence is not updated then the designated HA may be incorrect.

NB: Transgender have been assigned to their biological sex.






Limitations: HA assignment is based on the most recent HA of residence of the patient, if not available of the HIV-care provider. If the most recent HA of residence is not updated then the designated HA may be incorrect.

<sup>8</sup> Data is for the period 2015 Q1–2015 Q4. Data Sources:

i British Columbia Centre for Excellence Drug Treatment Program (DTP) Database (ARV use, VL and CD4 count).

ii Administrative data (ex. MSP billings; hospitalization data from the Discharge Abstract Database (DAD)).





Limitations: HA assignment is based on the most recent HA of residence of the patient, if not available of the HIV-care provider. If the most recent HA of residence is not updated then the designated HA may be incorrect.


Recent updates to the DTP database have allowed for more comprehensive information on HIV risk group category. As a result, 2014 Q4 data may differ significantly from preceding reports in terms of total numbers ascribed to each risk group.

<sup>9</sup> Data is for the period 2015 Q1-2015 Q4. Data Sources:

i British Columbia Centre for Excellence Drug Treatment Program (DTP) Database (ARV use, VL and CD4 count).

ii Administrative data (ex. MSP billings; hospitalization data from the Discharge Abstract Database (DAD)).

Estimated Cascade of Care for Vancouver Coastal Health by Age Category and MSM Status, 2015  $^{\it 9}$ Figure 5.5




Data is for the period 2015 Q1-2015 Q4.

Limitations: HA assignment is based on the most recent HA of residence of the patient, if not available of the HIV-care provider. If the most recent HA of residence is not updated then the designated HA may be incorrect. Recent updates to the DTP database have allowed for more comprehensive information on HIV risk group category. As a result, 2014 Q4 data may differ significantly from preceding reports in terms of total numbers ascribed to each risk group.

British Columbia Centre for Excellence Drug Treatment Program (DTP) Database (ARV use, VL and CD4 count).

Administrative data (ex. MSP billings; hospitalization data from the Discharge Abstract Database (DAD)).





Limitations: HA assignment is based on the most recent HA of residence of the patient, if not available of the HIV-care provider. If the most recent HA of residence is not updated then the designated HA may be incorrect.


Recent updates to the DTP database have allowed for more comprehensive information on HIV risk group category. As a result, 2014 Q4 data may differ significantly from preceding reports in terms of total numbers ascribed to each risk group.

<sup>9</sup> Data is for the period 2015 Q1-2015 Q4. Data Sources:

i British Columbia Centre for Excellence Drug Treatment Program (DTP) Database (ARV use, VL and CD4 count).

ii Administrative data (ex. MSP billings; hospitalization data from the Discharge Abstract Database (DAD)).





Limitations: HA assignment is based on the most recent HA of residence of the patient, if not available of the HIV-care provider. If the most recent HA of residence is not updated then the designated HA may be incorrect.

Recent updates to the DTP database have allowed for more comprehensive information on HIV risk group category. As a result, 2014 Q4 data may differ significantly from preceding reports in terms of total numbers ascribed to each risk group.

<sup>9</sup> Data is for the period 2015 Q1–2015 Q4. Data Sources:

i British Columbia Centre for Excellence Drug Treatment Program (DTP) Database (ARV use, VL and CD4 count).

ii Administrative data (ex. MSP billings; hospitalization data from the Discharge Abstract Database (DAD)).

## Programmatic Compliance Score

Indicator 6. Programmatic Compliance Score (PCS)

The Programmatic Compliance Score (PCS) is a summary measure of risk of future death, immunologic failure and virologic failure from all causes for people who are starting ART for the first time. It is composed of patient- and physician-driven effects. PCs scores range from o−6 with higher scores indicative of poorer health outcomes and greater risk of death. Table 1 provides mortality, immunologic failure and virologic failure probabilities for given PCs scores. We interpret an individual with a PCs≥4 as being 22 times more likely to die, almost 10 times more likely to have immunologic failure and nearly 4 times as likely to demonstrate virologic failure compared to those individuals with a PCs score of o. A detailed description of how the PCs score is calculated and its validation can be found in the technical report. In short, PCs scores are calculated by summing the results (yes=1, no=0) of six un-weighted non-performance indicators based on IAS−USA treatment guidelines:

- having <3 CD4 cell count tests in the first year after starting antiretroviral therapy (ART);
- 2. having <3 plasma viral load (VL) tests in the first year after starting ART;
- 3. not having drug resistance testing done prior to starting ART;
- 4. starting on a non-recommended ART regimen;
- 5. starting therapy with CD4<200 cells/μL; and
- 6. not achieving viral suppression within 9 months since ART initiation.

In this section we provide PCs scores and their components over time for the province of BC. A decline to 0%, (i.e., all individuals having a score of o) is the eventual goal.

Table 2. Probability of Mortality, Immunologic Failure and Virologic Failure based on the Programmatic Compliance Score

Programmatic Compliance Score	Mortality Risk Ratio (95% Confidence Interval)	Immunologic Failure Risk Ratio (95% CI)	Virologic Failure Risk Ratio (95% CI)
·			
O (Best score)	1 (-)	1 (-)	1 (-)
1	3.81 (1.73-8.42)	1.39 (1.04–1.85)	1.32 (1.05–1.67)
2	7.97 (3.70–17.18)	2.17 (1.54–3.04)	1.86 (1.46–2.38)
3	11.51 (5.28-25.08)	2.93 (1.89-4.54)	2.98 (2.16–4.11)
4 or more (Worst score)	22.37 (10.46–47.84)	9.71 (5.72–16.47)	3.80 (2.52–5.73)

Reference: Lima VD, Le A, Nosyk B, Barrios R, Yip B, et al. (2012) Development and Validation of a Composite Programmatic Assessment Tool for HIV Therapy. PLoS ONE 7(11): e47859. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047859

Figure 6.1 PCS Components for Vancouver Coastal Health, 2014 Q1-2015 Q4 10





Figure 6.2 Historical Trends for PCS Score for Vancouver Coastal Health, 2014 Q1–2015 Q4 10,11



Data Source: British Columbia Centre for Excellence Drug Treatment Program (DTP) Database. Limitations: CD4 cell count capture is approximately 80%.


<sup>11</sup> Each quarter's data is calculated as the sum of the 4 quarters leading up to it. e.g. 2013 Q1 is calculated from 2012 Q2 – 2013 Q1. NB: A score of o is the best score and a score of 4 or more is the worst score.

### Antiretroviral Uptake

In this section we present trends in ART uptake, the number and proportion of new HIV treatment initiations and the number of active and inactive DTP participants. Trends in ART uptake should be interpreted under the consideration of changing BC HIV treatment guidelines. BC HIV treatment guidelines are updated regularly by the BC-CFE Therapeutic Guidelines Committee and reflect those of the International AIDS Society. Most recent changes were made in 2012 and HIV treatment is now recommended for all HIV-positive adults regardless of CD4 cell count; as evidence demonstrates that early initiation of HIV treatment maximizes both the individual's health outcomes as well as the potential of ART as a form of HIV transmission prevention at a population level. As such, trends in the number and proportion of persons on ART and new ART starts (in both naïve and experienced persons) are expected to increase over time at higher CD4 cell counts.


### Indicator 7. New Antiretroviral Therapy Starts in Vancouver Coastal Health

Figure 7 BC-CfE Drug Treatment Program Enrollment: New ART Participants in Vancouver Coastal Health, 2014 Q1–2015 Q4 12



#### Indicator 8. CD4 Cell Count at ART Initiation

Figure 8 CD4 Cell Count at ART Initiation of ART-Naïve DTP Participants in Vancouver Coastal Health, 2014 Q1–2015 Q4 <sup>13</sup>




- Data Source: Drug Treatment Program Database Limitation: DTP participants are designated to an HA based on most current residence provided by the participant.
- Data Source: Drug Treatment Program Database Limitations: CD4 cell count data is approximately 80% complete.

## Indicator 9. Active and Inactive DTP Participants

Table 3. Distribution of People on ART for Vancouver Coastal Health, 2015 Q4  $^{14}$ 

Age	< 30	143
	30-39	519
	40-49	1085
	≥ 50	2203
Gender	Male	3481
	Female	469
Exposure	MSM	1883
	PWID	1134
Total		3950

Figure 9 Active and Inactive DTP Participants for Vancouver Coastal Health, 2014 Q1–2015 Q4 15



Data Source: Drug Treatment Program Database
Limitation: DTP participants are designated to an HA based
on most current residence provided by the participant.

Recent updates to the DTP database provides for improved classification allowing some individuals previously classified as 'unknown' to be reclassified into specific risk groups. This update is in effect from 2014Q4 and may result in noticeable changes of numbers in each risk group category compared to previous reports.

#### Definition:

'On antiretroviral therapy' defined as being on treatment in the current quarter


15 Active DTP participants: An individual who has had medication prescribed at least once in the preceding quarter. Inactive DTP participants: Persons no longer prescribed drugs through the HIV/AIDS Drug Treatment Program in the last quarter.

#### Antiretroviral Adherence Level

In this section we present trends in prescription refill adherence levels for individuals in their first year of treatment. Given that the benefits of ART are compromised in the presence of imperfect ART adherence, we expect to see the proportion of persons on ART achieving near perfect adherence (ie.  $\geq$ 95%) to increase with time. Furthermore, it is important that trends in the proportion of ART users achieving prescription refill adherence of  $\geq$ 95% keep pace with new ART starts and increase among those continuing on ART.

#### Indicator 10. Antiretroviral Adherence

Figure 10 Distribution of Individuals by Adherence Level in 1st Year of Therapy, Based on Pharmacy Refill Compliance for Vancouver Coastal Health, 2014 Q1–2015 Q4 16




<sup>16</sup> Data Source: Drug Treatment Program Database Limitation: Prescription refill adherence is used as a proxy for patient adherence.

## Resistance Testing and Results

#### Indicator 11. Resistance Testing and Results

In this section, we present trends in cumulative resistance testing by resistance category: Suppressed (where a DTP participant's viral load is too low to be genotyped); Wild Type (where no HIV treatment resistances were discovered), Never Genotyped, and Resistances to one, two, three, or four HIV treatment classes. Resistance testing prior to ART initiation is recommended in the BC HIV treatment primary care guidelines. Thus, it is expected that trends over time should find all persons enrolled in the DTP to have been genotyped. Trends over time should also show an increase in the proportion of DTP participants achieving a suppressed status and an increase in resistance testing should not lead to an increase in the number of ART resistances occurring.

Figure 11 Cumulative Resistance Testing Results by Resistance Category for Vancouver Coastal Health, 2014 Q1–2015 Q4 <sup>17</sup>




<sup>17</sup> Data Source: Drug Treatment Program Database

Limitation: DTP participants are designated to a HA based on most current residence provided by the participant.

## AIDS-Defining Illness

#### Indicator 12. AIDS-Defining Illness

Improvements in ART and the expansion of ART province-wide has led to very low numbers of recorded AIDS cases across BC. However, interpreting trends in AIDS cases is challenging as AIDS reporting is passive in BC and it is likely that they are under-reported across all Health Authorities. In addition to under-reporting, methods of reporting AIDS cases are inconsistent across HA's and do not truly reflect the current reality of new AIDS diagnoses. Efforts will need to be made to improve under- and inconsistent reporting of AIDS cases across all HA's. The table below shows AIDS cases using three definitions. First, AIDS cases were defined as the number of physician-reported AIDS defining illness (ADI) in a given year. AIDS case reporting is a passive process and physicians can voluntarily report AIDS cases to the BCCDC or DTP. As such, we have plotted both BCCDC reports and DTP reported AIDS cases. We also show the proportion of persons initiating ART with a CD4<200 cells/µL.




Data Source: DTP AIDS cases are obtained from the Drug Treatment Program Database; BCCDC AIDS cases are obtained from the BC-CDC; CD4<200 at ART initiation data came from the DTP database.

Limitation: AIDs case reporting was investigated using 3 definitions: First, using AIDs cases reported in AIDs case report forms from the DTP; Second, using AIDs cases reported via the BCCDC and third, using a CD4 cell count of <200 cells/µL at time of ART initiation using DTP data. AIDs case reporting is passive in BC, thus; AIDs case reporting is not well captured. The DTP sends out AIDs reporting forms to physicians annually. The BCCDC uses DTP AIDs case reports as well as physician AIDs case reports made directly to the BCCDC. Interpreting AIDs case reports should be done with these limitations in mind. AIDs data is updated annually as very few AIDs cases reports are reported in general and trends would be difficult to notice if reported quarterly.

## HIV-Related Mortality

#### Indicator 13. HIV-Related Mortality

Evidence indicates that individuals who initiate treatment with recommended ART in a timely fashion may live near normal lifespans. Excess mortality among HIV positive persons is, therefore, an important measure of HIV care with a goal of minimizing HIV-related mortality in British Columbia.



#### Limitation:

<sup>19</sup> Data Source: BC Vital Statistics

<sup>1.</sup> DTP participants are designated to an HA based on most current residence provided by the participant.

<sup>2.</sup> Mortality data is updated annually.

<sup>3.</sup> The most recent available data was used.

## Appendices

	(thousands)	201 Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	2012 Q1	Q2				Q2		Q4		Q2				Q2		6 Q4		
	Coastal Hea	lth 16.4	16.2	21.9	19.1	21.8																	
Gender	Female	6.6	6.2	6.9	7.4	9.0		10.5															
	Male	8.3	7.6	8.6	8.8	10.5	10.4	11.6	11.9	14.3	15.3	14.4	14.2	16.8	18.3	20.4	19.8	21.8	21.5	21.9	21.8		
	Other	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	2 0.2		
Age	< 30	5.2	5.0	5.8	5.8	6.4	6.2	7.2	7.1	7.7	8.2	8.3	8.1	8.8	9.0	10.2	9.9	10.2	10.3	3 11.4	11.		
	30-39	4.2	3.8	4.1	4.2	5.1	5.0	5.3	5.2	6.4	6.7	6.5	6.2	7.6	7.6	8.1	7.7	8.9	9.0	9.2	8.		
	40-49	2.7	2.5	2.6	2.9	3.4	3.4	3.6	3.8	4.8	5.1	4.6	4.6	5.4	5.7	6.1	5.9	6.5	6.4	6.5	6.3		
	≥ 50	2.7	2.6	3.0	3.4	4.6	4.8	6.0	6.4	9.1	10.2	9.0	9.0	11.0	14.0	16.0	16.0	18.1	17.5	16.7	7 17.0		
POC HIV	Tests	1.0	2.0	5.9	2.4	2.1	1.9	2.1	1.7	2.0	1.8	2.1	1.9	2.1	2.0	2.4	2.0	1.7	1.6	5 1.5	5 1.5		
North Show		1.8	1.8	1.8	1.9	2.1	2.0	2.1	2.3	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.7	3.1	4.3	4.8	5.3	5.8	5.6	6.3	6.0		
Female (	(Non-prenata	l) 0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.6	2.2	2.5	2.8	3.1	3.0	3.3	3.		
Male	-	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.5	2.1	2.2	2.5	2.7	2.6	5 2.8	3 2.8		
Richmond		0.9	0.8	0.8	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.3	2.5	4.8	4.8	4.7	5.1	4.5	5 4.2	2 4.8		
	(Non-prenata			0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5		0.6	0.7	0.7			1.3	2.6	2.6	2.5			5 2.2			
Male	` 1	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	1.2	2.2	2.3	2.2	2.4	2.0	) 2.0	2.2		
Vancouver			13.6																				
	(Non-prenata			5.5	6.1	7.4								12.9									
Male	( <i>F</i>	7.0				9.0		10.1															
Indicator 2: Rate of HIV Testing per 100,0						010		2011		201	2	20	013		2014		201	5					
Vancouver Coastal Health 4127.0				3.0		344.6		5862.			35.6		10335.9 11636.4										
North Shore / Coast Garibaldi 2667.7					59.4		773.1		3280.			)2.0		297.0		8084.							
Richmond		Huaidi	1763		184			911.7		2428.			)7.5		19.4		8706.						
Vancouver			5489			08.5		781.1		8033		1148			760.0		4078.						
Gender	Female		3549							5381.													
Gender	Male															11473.6 11682.3							
Λ α α	< 30		4399.0 4310.0		4474.3 4659.6 4298.4 4496.3						8333.8 10506.0 6689.4 7710.5					1002. 8577.							
Age																							
	30–39		7342			9.9		673.0							14287.9		5803.						
	40-49		4523		4449.6 4657.6			6246.3						10939.6		12094.0							
	≥ 50		1845	.1	191	2.6	2	192.7		4199.	.3	730	00.3	107	797.4	1	2391.	.0					
					2011			20	12			2013			201	4		2	015				
	3: New HIV							Q4 Q												Q2 Q	3 Q		
Vancouver	Coastal	By Client			32	48	57	41 4		33	32	31		10 25		49	32	28	39	40 3	6 2		
Health		By Provid	ler Ad	dress	34	47	65	42 4	7 38	37	38	31	50 4	19 30	) 41	54	36	32	46	48 3	8 2		
Gender		Female			3	7	2	2	5 4	3	2	4	4	1 1	1 3	4	3	4	5	2	7 (		
		Male			29	41	55	39 3	8 29	30	30	27	41 3	39 24	1 33	44	29	24	34	38 2	8 2		
Age		< 30			3	10	13	12 1	1 10	7	13	7	11 1	4 6	5 11	12	6	9	10	9 1	1		
		30-39			12	16	22	8 1	2 11	7	6	11	14	5 3	3 10	16	13	7	10	9 1	0		
		40-49			11	12	13	13 1	2 6	11	9	8	8 1	1 10	) 8	7	5	6	6	11	5		
		≥ 50			6	10	9	8	8 6	8	4	5	12 1	.0 6	5 8	14	8	6	13	11 1	0		
Exposure		MSM			23	36	43	30 3	3 25	25	22	19	32 2	29 20	27	36	21	17	25	18			
		PWID			3	2	8	0	3 4	. 1	3	3	3	3 2	2 4	3	2	1	2	2	_		
		HET			6	10	6	9	7 4	6	6	8	8	6 2	2 4	7	6	4	6	5			
		Other			0	0	0		0 0	0	0	0	1	1 (	0 (	0	2	2	2	0			
		NIR/Unk	nown		0	0	0		0 0		1	1	1		1 2		1	4	4				
		- 1224 0111			0	9	Ü	-	_ 0				-				1		-				

									2011					)12				2013				201					015			
Indicator 3		w H							Q1	Q2	Q3	Q	4 (	21 (			Q4			Q3	Q4	Q1						Q2		Q4
North Shore / Coast Gar		1:		By C	Clien	t Re	side	nce	0	2	6	)	1	2	3	1	1	3	1	4	1	1	3	3 .	3	3	2	0	1	6
/ Coast Gar.	ivaic	11		Ву Р	rovi	der 1	Addı	ress	0	2	4	!	0	1	2	2	1	3	2	4	0	1	2	2 .	3	3	2	2	1	5
Richmond				ВуС	Clien	t Re	side	nce	2	0	1		3	0	1	3	0	2	1	0	1	1	1	l :	2	0	1	6	2	0
				Ву Р	rovi	der 1	Addı	ress	1	1	0	)	2	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	2	2	i	1.	3	0	1	3	2	0
Vancouver				ВуС	Clier	ıt Re	side	nce	30	46	50	) 3	7 4	41	29	29	31	26	43	36	23	35	45	5 2	7 2	25	36	34	33	21
				Ву Р	rovi	der 1	Addı	ress	33	44	61	4	0 4	46 .	35	33	36	28	48	45	28	38	51	1 3	0 2	29	43	43	35	21
Indicator 4:	Stag	ge of	f HI	V In	fect	ion a	at Ba	aseli	ne																					
	(10		VCF		(1.4	(10		emal			(10		Male		(1.4	(10		0 ye		(1.4		30-3	-			(10		49 y		(1.4
0. 0				'13				'12																					13	
Stage 0	38	48		32	46	5	0	6	1	2	33	48	38					16	12		15		15		15	7	16	7	7	7
Stage 1	39	35		39	38	4	4	3	3	7	35		29		31	9		8	15	8	15	12			13	11	6	7	4	8
Stage 2a	22	33	19	34	15	3	5	1	3	2	19	28	18			4		4	8	2	10	10	3	9	2	7		8	7	6
Stage 2b	22	23	18	13	19	7	4	3	2	0	15	19	15	11	19	3	5	4	0	4	5	4	4	8	5	9	9	6	3	5
Stage 3	42	31	34	35	23	10	4	2	4	5	32	27	32	31	18	2	3	5	2	3	8	7	6	5	6	11	8	8	17	4
Unknown	22	17	9	5	18	0	0	1	0	2	22	16	8	5	16	8	4	5	1	3	10	7	2	1	7	3	2	0	0	3
Total	185	187	157	158	159	29	17	16	13	18	156	169	140	144	141	39	39	42	38	39	63	60	43	47	48	48	51	36	38	33
		≥ 5	0 ye	ars			1	MSM	[			P	WI	D			Hete	rose	xua	l	Ot	her	Expo	osur	e	N	JIR/	Unk	now	n
	'10			'13	'14	'10		'12		<b>'</b> 14	'10				<b>'</b> 14							<b>'</b> 11						'12		<b>'</b> 14
Stage 0	3	3	6	2	5	30	44	35	24	42	3	2	4	5	3	5	2	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Stage 1	4	7	5	7	9	24	27	26	30	23	6	2	1	3	5	9	6	6	4	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	1
Stage 2a	1	5	4	10	5	15	23	14	26	10	2	4	4	4	0	5	5	1	4	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Stage 2b	5	5	4	2	5	12	16	13	11	12	4	2	2	0	2	5	5	3	2	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Stage 3	21	13	15	11	10	17	15	22	19	9	7	1	1	2	0	17	13	9	12	9	0	2	0	0	3	1	0	2	2	2
Unknown	1	4	2	3	5	17	13	7	3	13	1	2	0	0	0	3	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	4
Total	35	37	36	35	39	115	138	117	113	109	23	13	12	14	10	44	32	25	25	25	1	4	0	2	5	2	0	3	4	10
T 1: 4 5	***																													
Indicator 5					f Ca	re		D	IAGI	NOSE			L	INKE		]	RETA					ART		ADI		ENT		SUPP		
Vancouver				Itn						471				457				408			Ĵ	8885			3	615			3.	136
Age Catego	ory									20				14				12				114				96				84
		30–								62				60				525				494				432				371
		40-	49							125	51			122	22			107				.020				954				315
		≥ 50	0							262	27			259	99			2364	4		2	257			2	133			18	366
Age Catego	ory	MS	M		<	< 30				5	8			5	54			50	0			47				37				34
and MSM					3	30-3	9			26	66			26	50			220	0			210				183			1	158
Status					4	10-4	9			50	)5			50	)1			45	1			430				413			3	361
					2	≥ 50				129	91			129	90			120	5		1	162			1	111			10	800
		Noı	n-M	SM	<	< 30				1	9			1	9			16	5			15				13				10
					3	30-3	9			14	15			14	14			135	5			125				102				81
					4	10-4	9			35	53			35				33	1			314				280			2	217
						≥ 50				66				65				647				608				555				147
		Unl	knov	vn		< 30				12					59			58				52				46				40
		J 111				30-3	9			21				20				170				159				147			1	132
																						276								
						10-4	J			39				37				289								261 467				237
Gender		) f 1			2	≥ 50				67				65				513				487				467				411
1-ondor		Mal	le							413	94			404	13			358	I		3	420			3	208			28	306

Female

Indicator 5: H	IIV Cascade of Care	D	IAGNOSED	LINKED	RETAINED	O	N ART	ADHERENT	SUPPRESSED
Injection	PWID		1248	1241	1208		1144	1028	808
Drug Use	Non-PWID		2367	2348	2146		2055	1938	1752
	Unknown		1096	981	731		686	649	576
MSM Status	MSM		2120	2105	1926		1849	1744	1561
	Non-MSM		1180	1170	1129		1062	950	755
	Unknown		1411	1295	1030		974	921	820
Health Authority	North Shore / Coast Garibaldi		299	293	273		264	250	209
	Richmond		146	136	123		119	113	105
	Vancouver		4264	4140	3688		3502	3252	2822
Indicator 6: P	rogrammatic Comp	liance Sco	re (PCS)						
	1	2014		0.0	0.4	2015			0.4
		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2		
< 3 CD4 Tests		11.4%	13.4%	11.7%	9.1%	9.4%	7.3%		
< 3 Viral Load		7.6%	8.1%	7.7%	5.7%	6.7%	6.7%		
No Baseline C		4.9%	4.8%	4.1%	1.7%	4.4%	5.6%		
	< 200 cells/μL	22.2%	23.7%	23.0%	21.7%	20.0%	16.9%		
Non-Recomm		11.9%	11.8%	5.6%	1.7%	1.1%	1.1%		
_	opression at 9 Mo.	33.0%	33.3%	32.1%	25.7%	24.4%	23.0%		
PCS Score: 0		78	73	90	100	106	112		
PCS Score: 1		66	72	70	48	48	39		
PCS Score: 2		28	26	20	16	11	16		
PCS Score: 3		8	9	11	9	11	7		
PCS Score: 4 of Total (n=)	or more	5 <b>185</b>	6 186	5 <b>196</b>	2 175	180	4 178		
			100	190	1/3	100	176	130	139
-	lew DTP ARV Partic	_	F.4	20	26	25	50	4.4	21
First Starts	04	51	56 55	38	36	37	50		
Experienced S	Starts	62	55	76	55	62	54	53	74
	D4 Cell Count at AF	RT Initiati			ticipants				
CD4 ≥ 500		21	28	18	12	16	19	20	
CD4 350-499		11	12	8	6	3	9	6	7
CD4 200-349	)	9	8	4	9	12	10	8	
CD4 50-199		6	8	6	8	4	8	5	
CD4 < 50		4	0	2	1	2	4		
CD4 Median (	(cells/μL)	410	490	460	345	370	390		
Total (n=)		51	56	38	36	37	50	43	31
Indicator 9: A	active and Inactive D	TP Partici	pants						
Active DTP P	articipants	3818	3844	3873	3876	3880	3926	3920	3950
Inactive DTP	Participants	637	654	666	672	669	662	680	667
Indicator 10:	Antiretroviral Adhei	rence							
≥ 95%		35	39	49	45	37	51	39	32
80% to < 95%		5	7	6	4	8	7	7	1
40% to < 80%		4	7	7	1	6	3	7	1
< 40%		0	1	2	0	1	0	0	2
Total (n=)		44	54	64	50	52	61	53	36

Indicator 11: Resistance Testing and Results

2014 Q1	Q2		Q3	Q4	2015 Q1	Q	2	Q3	Q4
2806	2777	28	822	2827	2883	286	4	2776	2775
421	393	:	386	327	354	34	5	397	322
23	12		11	8	13	1	7	11	15
90	87		74	63	80	7	7	73	63
14	14		11	15	16	1	6	17	15
2	4		2	1	3		3	2	2
3356	3287	33	3306 3241 3349 3322		3276	3192			
Defining Illness	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Cases	130	95	82	69	52	48	42	38	34
Rate per 100,000	12.1	8.8	7.5	6.3	4.7	4.3	3.7	3.3	_
Cases	81	81	59	53	35	34	33	26	11
Rate per 100,000	7.5	7.5	5.4	4.8	3.2	3.0	2.9	2.3	_
Cases	72	75	54	47	36	28	23	15	-
Rate per 100,000	6.7	7.0	5.0	4.3	3.2	2.5	2.0	1.3	-
lated Mortality	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	
ealth	55	88	73	49	36	34	18	20	
tion	0.90	1.41	1.15	0.76	0.54	0.50	0.26	0.28	
on	5.30	8.46	6.79	4.51	3.30	3.05	1.58	1.73	
	2014 Q1 2806 421 23 90 14 2 3356  Defining Illness Cases Rate per 100,000 Cases Rate per 100,000 Cases Rate per 100,000 lated Mortality ealth tion	2014 Q1 Q2 2806 2777 421 393 23 12 90 87 14 14 14 2 4 3356 3287  Defining Illness 2007 Cases 130 Rate per 100,000 12.1 Cases 81 Rate per 100,000 7.5 Cases 72 Rate per 100,000 6.7  Lated Mortality 2004 ealth 55 tion 0.90	2014 Q1 Q2 2806 2777 2. 421 393 23 12 90 87 14 14 14 2 4 3356 3287 3.  26fining Illness 2007 2008 Cases 130 95 Rate per 100,000 12.1 8.8 Cases 81 Rate per 100,000 7.5 7.5 Cases 72 75 Rate per 100,000 6.7 7.0  lated Mortality 2004 2005 ealth 55 88 tion 0.90 1.41	2014 Q1     Q2     Q3       2806     2777     2822       421     393     386       23     12     11       90     87     74       14     14     11       2     4     2       3356     3287     3306       Defining Illness     2007     2008     2009       Cases     130     95     82       Rate per 100,000     12.1     8.8     7.5       Cases     81     81     59       Rate per 100,000     7.5     7.5     5.4       Cases     72     75     54       Rate per 100,000     6.7     7.0     5.0       Iated Mortality     2004     2005     2006       ealth     55     88     73       tion     0.90     1.41     1.15	2014 Q1         Q2         Q3         Q4           2806         2777         2822         2827           421         393         386         327           23         12         11         8           90         87         74         63           14         14         11         15           2         4         2         1           3356         3287         3306         3241           2         4         2         1           3356         3287         3306         3241           2         4         2         09           2007         2008         2009         2010           Cases         130         95         82         69           Rate per 100,000         12.1         8.8         7.5         6.3           Cases         81         81         59         53           Rate per 100,000         7.5         7.5         5.4         4.8           Cases         72         75         54         47           Rate per 100,000         6.7         7.0         5.0         4.3           1ated Mortality	2014 Q1         Q2         Q3         Q4         Q1           2806         2777         2822         2827         2883           421         393         386         327         354           23         12         11         8         13           90         87         74         63         80           14         14         11         15         16           2         4         2         1         3           3356         3287         3306         3241         3349           Defining Illness         2007         2008         2009         2010         2011           Cases         130         95         82         69         52           Rate per 100,000         12.1         8.8         7.5         6.3         4.7           Cases         81         81         59         53         35           Rate per 100,000         7.5         7.5         5.4         4.8         3.2           Cases         72         75         54         47         36           Rate per 100,000         6.7         7.0         5.0         4.3         3.2	2014 Q1         Q2         Q3         Q4         Q1         Q           2806         2777         2822         2827         2883         286           421         393         386         327         354         34           23         12         11         8         13         1           90         87         74         63         80         7           14         14         11         15         16         1           2         4         2         1         3         3349         332           Oefining Illness         2007         2008         2009         2010         2011         2012           Cases         130         95         82         69         52         48           Rate per 100,000         12.1         8.8         7.5         6.3         4.7         4.3           Cases         81         81         59         53         35         34           Rate per 100,000         7.5         7.5         5.4         4.8         3.2         3.0           Cases         72         75         54         47         36         28 <t< td=""><td>2014 Q1         Q2         Q3         Q4         Q1 Q1         Q2           2806         2777         2822         2827         2883         2864           421         393         386         327         354         345           23         12         11         8         13         17           90         87         74         63         80         77           14         14         11         15         16         16           2         4         2         1         3         3           3356         3287         3306         3241         3349         3322           2efining Illness         2007         2008         2009         2010         2011         2012         2013           Cases         130         95         82         69         52         48         42           Rate per 100,000         12.1         8.8         7.5         6.3         4.7         4.3         3.7           Cases         81         81         59         53         35         34         33           Rate per 100,000         7.5         7.5         5.4         4.8</td><td>2014 Q1         Q2         Q3         Q4         2015 Q1         Q2         Q3           2806         2777         2822         2827         2883         2864         2776           421         393         386         327         354         345         397           23         12         11         8         13         17         11           90         87         74         63         80         77         73           14         14         11         15         16         16         17           2         4         2         1         3         3         2           26fining Illness         2007         2008         2009         2010         2011         2012         2013         2014           Cases         130         95         82         69         52         48         42         38           Rate per 100,000         12.1         8.8         7.5         6.3         4.7         4.3         3.7         3.3           Cases         81         81         59         53         35         34         33         26           Rate per 100,000</td></t<>	2014 Q1         Q2         Q3         Q4         Q1 Q1         Q2           2806         2777         2822         2827         2883         2864           421         393         386         327         354         345           23         12         11         8         13         17           90         87         74         63         80         77           14         14         11         15         16         16           2         4         2         1         3         3           3356         3287         3306         3241         3349         3322           2efining Illness         2007         2008         2009         2010         2011         2012         2013           Cases         130         95         82         69         52         48         42           Rate per 100,000         12.1         8.8         7.5         6.3         4.7         4.3         3.7           Cases         81         81         59         53         35         34         33           Rate per 100,000         7.5         7.5         5.4         4.8	2014 Q1         Q2         Q3         Q4         2015 Q1         Q2         Q3           2806         2777         2822         2827         2883         2864         2776           421         393         386         327         354         345         397           23         12         11         8         13         17         11           90         87         74         63         80         77         73           14         14         11         15         16         16         17           2         4         2         1         3         3         2           26fining Illness         2007         2008         2009         2010         2011         2012         2013         2014           Cases         130         95         82         69         52         48         42         38           Rate per 100,000         12.1         8.8         7.5         6.3         4.7         4.3         3.7         3.3           Cases         81         81         59         53         35         34         33         26           Rate per 100,000