

THERAPEUTIC GUIDELINES FOR OPPORTUNISTIC INFECTIONS CANDIDIASIS

INITIAL RELEASE: MAY 2009

LAST UPDATED: OCTOBER 2022





TABLE OF CONTENTS

CANDIDIASIS	3
I) OROPHARYNGEAL CANDIDIASIS	3
a) Prophylaxis:	3
b) Diagnosis.	3
c) Treatment	3
II) ESOPHAGEAL CANDIDIASIS	4
a) Prophylaxis:	4
b) Diagnosis.	5
c) Treatment:	5
III) VULVOVAGINAL CANDIDIASIS	6
a) Prophylaxis (See above Oropharyngeal candidiasis):	6
b) Diagnosis	7
c) Treatment:	7
IV) REFRACTORY MUCOSAL CANDIDIASIS	9
Treatment	9
V) MUCOSAL CANDIDIASIS IN PREGNANCY	10
Treatment	10



CANDIDIASIS

I) OROPHARYNGEAL CANDIDIASIS

Mucosal candidiasis, particularly oropharyngeal, has been the most common opportunistic infection, occurring in up to 90% of patients during the course of HIV disease in the pre-ART era¹. It serves as a marker of immunosuppression, usually occurring when the CD4 count falls to less than 200 cells/ μL. *C. albicans* accounts for most cases, although a significant proportion are caused by non-albicans species, such as *C. glabrata*, *C. dubliniensis*, and *C. tropicalis*^{2,3}.

a) Prophylaxis:

Primary. Specific antifungal agents are not recommended for this purpose (AIII).

Secondary (suppressive therapy). This is generally not recommended unless disease-free intervals between episodes are short (e.g. less than a month) or symptoms are severe (BIII) and the diagnosis has been confirmed (see Diagnosis below).

Preferred treatment:

• Fluconazole 100 mg PO daily or 3 times a week (BI)

Discussion. Immune reconstitution by initiation of antiretroviral therapy (ART) or revision of a failing regimen is essential for reducing the risk of recurrences of mucosal candidiasis. Factors to be considered in the decision regarding initiation of secondary prophylaxis include the frequency and severity of episodes, drug toxicities and interactions, and cost4. Both continuous and episodic use of fluconazole have been associated with a similar risk of ~4% for the development of fluconazole-refractory mucosal candidiasis after a median follow-up of 2 years⁵. Symptomatic episodes may be more frequent and difficult to control with topical therapy (see Treatment) in patients with marked CD4 lymphopenia.

b) Diagnosis. Oropharyngeal candidiasis clinical findings may include lesions which are white (also removable and curd-like), in addition to erythematous patches or angular cheilitis. Laboratory confirmation of the diagnosis is not necessary in the presence of typical appearing lesions; however, a potassium hydroxide (KOH) wet mount smear or Gram stain (with or without culture) demonstrating organisms compatible with *Candida* species is important in the setting of atypical lesions or lack of response to therapy. An oral cavity swab which is culture-positive for *Candida* species does not differentiate between mucosal candidiasis disease and *Candida* colonization, the latter being present in up to 82% of those who are HIV-positive³. However, in contrast to disease, colonization is usually associated with a relatively low number of organisms which is insufficient to detect on a smear or Gram stain.

c) Treatment.

SYSTEMIC THERAPY

Fluconazole 100 mg PO daily for 7-14 days(AI), or



• Fluconazole 750 mg PO single dose (BI), or

TOPICAL THERAPY for 14 days

- Nystatin suspension 200,000-500,000 units swish and swallow, 4 times daily (BI), or
- Gentian violet 5 mL (0.00165%) swish and gargle for 2 minutes and then expectorate, twice daily (BI)

Discussion. Systemic therapy is preferable for moderate to severe or recurrent infection. While topical agents may not be as well tolerated and in general are less effective than systemic therapy^{2,6,7}, they may be less expensive and are free of potential drug interactions. There is less published experience with single dose fluconazole treatment using 750 mg, although the response rate appears to be similar to that achieved with a 14-day duration⁸. Alternative systemic regimens which have similar efficacy to fluconazole include itraconazole oral solution (not capsules) 200 mg daily for 7-14 days^{9,10}, or posaconazole oral suspension 400 mg PO twice daily for 1 day then 400 mg PO once daily for 13 days11. However, both of these antifungals are expensive and require a Pharmacare special authority request for funding in British Columbia.

Although four other topical antifungal formulations have demonstrated efficacy in clinical trials (nystatin pastilles¹², clotrimazole troches^{6,12,13}, amphotericin B oral solution or lozenges, and miconazole buccal tablets¹³), they are not currently marketed in Canada. However, on request they may be prepared by one of a few compounding pharmacies in British Columbia (see: <u>Find A Compounder - PCCA - Professional Compounding Centers of America (pccarx.com)</u>).

Fluconazole is the best-tolerated azole antifungal drug, but may be associated with gastrointestinal symptoms and occasionally alopecia or hepatotoxicity. However, in comparative trials of 1-2 weeks of treatment, fluconazole and itraconazole had similar safety profiles^{9,10}. In contrast to fluconazole, itraconazole has less reliable absorption and more drug interactions. Itraconazole capsules are less effective than the oral solution formulation for both oropharyngeal and esophageal candidiasis². Itraconazole potential adverse effects include hepatotoxicity, peripheral neuropathy, occasional exacerbation of congestive heart failure due to its negative inotropic effect, and the triad of hypokalemia, peripheral edema and hypertension related to pseudohyperaldosteronism.

II) ESOPHAGEAL CANDIDIASIS

a) Prophylaxis:

Primary. Specific antifungal agents are not recommended for this purpose (AIII).

Secondary (suppressive therapy). As for oropharyngeal candidiasis, this is generally not recommended unless disease-free intervals between episodes are short (e.g. less than a month) or symptoms are severe (BIII) and recurrent symptoms are confirmed to be candidiasis with smear positive lesions (Gram stain, KOH smear, or cytology). Treatment options include:

Preferred therapy:



• Fluconazole 100-200 mg PO daily, or 200 mg three times weekly (BI)

Alternate therapy:

- Posaconazole oral suspension 400 mg twice daily (BII), or
- Itraconazole oral solution 200 mg once or twice daily (BIII)

Discussion: See the *Discussion*, *Secondary Prophylaxis of Oropharyngeal Candidiasis*. Fluconazole 200 mg 3 times weekly has been shown to reduce the recurrence rate for both oropharyngeal and esophageal candidiasis⁵. However, fluconazole 200 mg once weekly reduced the recurrence rate of both oropharyngeal and vulvovaginal, but not esophageal candidiasis¹⁴.

b) Diagnosis. For immunocompromised HIV-positive patients, the presence of esophageal symptoms (odynophagia, dysphagia, or retrosternal pain) in association with clinical findings of oropharyngeal candidiasis has at least moderate predictive value for the presence of esophageal candidiasis^{15,16} and can be treated presumptively without further investigations¹⁷. If a clinical response is not observed after 5-7 days of therapy, then upper gastrointestinal endoscopy should be performed with esophageal mucosal brushings with or without biopsies for cytology, culture, and histology. However, in one study of predominantly AIDS patients, 40% of those presenting with esophageal symptoms but without oropharyngeal candidiasis were confirmed to have esophageal candidiasis¹⁶. Viral infection due to cytomegalovirus (CMV) or *Herpes simplex* (HSV) frequently coexists with *Candida* esophagitis¹⁶. The endoscopic appearance of the mucosa in esophageal candidiasis is typically raised white plaques, whereas erosions and ulcers are usually due to viral infection or idiopathic ulcer¹⁸. When the predominant symptom is moderate to severe odynophagia rather than dysphagia, then the diagnosis is more often esophageal ulceration (e.g. viral or idiopathic) rather than candidiasis¹⁷.

c) Treatment:

Preferred treatment:

• Fluconazole 200-400 mg orally daily (AI)

Alternate treatment:

- Itraconazole oral solution (not capsules) 200 mg daily (AI), or
- Voriconazole 200 mg (3 mg/kg) PO or IV twice daily (BI), or
- An echinocandin (e.g. micafungin 150 mg IV daily; or caspofungin 70 mg IV on day one, then 50 mg IV daily; or anildulafungin 100 mg IV on day one, then 50 mg IV daily) (BI), or
- Amphotericin B deoxycholate 0.3-0.7 mg/kg IV daily (BI), or
- Liposomal amphotericin B 3 mg/kg IV daily, (BIII), or
- Isavuconazole 200-400 mg PO loading dose, then 50-100 mg PO daily; or 400 mg PO once weekly for 3 doses; (BI) or 200 mg once daily IV

Discussion: A systemic antifungal is recommended for 2-3 weeks (AI). There is a lack of evidence to support the use of topical agents which are not absorbed systemically (e.g. nystatin, clotrimazole tro-



ches) for the treatment of esophageal candidiasis. Fluconazole (oral or intravenous) is more reliably absorbed, at least as effective, equally or better tolerated, less expensive, and more readily available in British Columbia than any of the alternative antifungal drugs listed above ^{19,20,21,22,23,24,25}. Although itraconazole oral solution has been shown to have similar efficacy to fluconazole (endoscopic cure in 90% vs 80%, respectively)²⁰, the use of itraconazole capsules has been associated with lower endoscopic cure rates than fluconazole (66% vs 81%, respectively)²⁶. Itraconazole oral solution is better absorbed than the capsule formulation (see the *Discussion* of the *Treatment* section of *Refractory Oral and Esophageal Candidiasis*).

Other azole alternatives include voriconazole which appears to have similar efficacy compared to fluconazole (98% vs 95%, respectively), but more adverse effects²¹. In a recent clinical trial of immunocompromised patients (37% of whom were HIV-positive), similar high response rates were observed with isavuconazole compared to fluconazole (97% vs 95%, respectively), but with more adverse events in the isavuconazole 100 mg daily dosage arm of the study²⁵. Posaconazole has been effective in the management of azole-refractory esophageal candidiasis (see the Discussion of the Treatment section of *Refractory Oropharyngeal and Esophageal Candidiasis*)²⁷.

For patients who are unable to swallow, all of the treatment options listed above have an intravenous formulation which is available in Canada, with the exception of itraconazole. Among the echinocandins, both micafungin22 and anidulafungin²4 have been shown to have similar efficacy and safety compared to fluconazole in clinical trials. Caspofungin had similar efficacy but was better tolerated than intravenous amphotericin B in a comparative trial²3. A higher relapse rate has been reported with the echinocandins compared to fluconazole for esophageal candidiasis²2,24. The somewhat lower response rate and major adverse events associated with intravenous amphotericin B make it the drug of last choice to be considered if alternatives are unavailable²3. Currently in British Columbia, a Pharmacare special authority approval is required for funding itraconazole oral solution, voriconazole, posaconazole, and isavuconazole.

III) VULVOVAGINAL CANDIDIASIS

a) Prophylaxis (See above Oropharyngeal candidiasis):

Primary. Specific antifungal agents are not recommended for this purpose (AIII).

Secondary (suppressive therapy). This is generally not recommended unless disease-free intervals between episodes are short (e.g. less than a month) or symptoms are severe (BIII) and the diagnosis has been confirmed.

Preferred treatment:

Fluconazole 150-200 mg once a week for 6 months (BI)

Discussion. See the *Discussion* section for *Secondary Prophylaxis of Oropharyngeal Candidiasis*. Fluconazole is the only antifungal drug recommended for suppressive therapy of recurrent vulvovaginal candidiasis^{28,29,30}. In a double-blind clinical trial of HIV-positive women with CD4 counts of <300 cells/ μ L, fluconazole at a dose of 200 mg once weekly provided significant risk reduction



compared to placebo for the development of both vaginal (relative risk [RR] 0.64, 95% confidence interval 0.4-1.00; p=0.05) and oropharyngeal (RR 0.5, 95% confidence interval 0.33-0.74; p<0.001) candidiasis¹⁴. Most individuals with recurrent vulvovaginitis are HIV-negative with no known immunocompromise, and have been effectively managed with a 6-month course of fluconazole after which the frequency of recurrences can be reassessed²⁸. Similarly, among HIV-positive persons with CD4 lymphopenia, initiation of ART in addition to a 6-month course of fluconazole may be effective in reducing the recurrence rate without having to continue the fluconazole indefinitely.

b) Diagnosis. Typical symptoms may include vulvar pruritis, pain, swelling, external dysuria, and discharge in association with examination findings of vulvar edema, excoriations and whitish curd-like discharge. Since the clinical presentation is non-specific, laboratory confirmation is required for the diagnosis with KOH wet mount smear (or Gram stain) of vaginal discharge demonstrating the presence of yeast forms, with or without pseudohyphae. Vaginal culture is usually not necessary, but may be considered if the smears are negative and other causes are unlikely. However, 10-20% of the general population, and a higher proportion of HIV-positive women have vaginal colonization with *Candida* species, which in the absence of symptoms or signs does not warrant treatment³¹. Self-diagnosis is inaccurate in more than half of women who choose over-the-counter antifungal drug therapy, regardless of whether or not there was a prior history of clinically diagnosed vulvovaginal candidiasis³². *Candida albicans* accounts for the majority of cases, and susceptibility testing is seldom required.

Lack of response to treatment or recurrent disease requires culture confirmation including the *Candida* species identification. C. *glabrata* is the most frequent non-albicans *Candida* causing vulvovaginitis³³. Infections due to non-albicans Candida species have lower response rates to treatment³⁴. The presence of only yeast forms on KOH smear or Gram stain suggests the presence of C. glabrata, which does not form hyphae or pseudohyphae. Despite there being some evidence of *Candida* transmission by sexual activity, *Candida vulvovaginitis* is not considered to be a sexually transmitted infection, and testing and treatment of sexual partners is not recommended^{29,30}.

c) Treatment:

Uncomplicated vulvovaginitis

- Fluconazole 150 mg PO single dose (AII), or
- Topical azole "over-the-counter" (OTC) (AII)
 - Clotrimazole 2% cream 5g intravaginally once daily for 3 days, or
 - Miconazole 4% cream 5g intravaginally once daily for 3 days, or
 - Miconazole 2% cream 5g intravaginally once daily for 7 days, or
 - Miconazole 1200 mg vaginal suppository single dose, or
 - Miconazole 200 mg vaginal suppository once daily for 3 days, or
 - Miconazole 100 mg vaginal suppository once daily for 7 days



Severe vulvovaginitis

- Fluconazole 150 mg PO, followed by 1-2 repeat doses at 3-day intervals (AI), or
- Topical azole (as above) for 7-14 days duration. If using the miconazole 1200 mg vaginal suppository, then the first dose is given on day 1 followed by a second dose on day 4.

Fluconazole-refractory vulvovaginitis

Preferred treatment:

- Topical azole (as for Severe vulvovaginitis above), or
- Topical nystatin vaginal suppositories 100,000 units once daily for 14 days

Alternative treatment:

- Boric acid 600 mg gelatin capsule intravaginally once daily for 14 days, or
- Itraconazole 200 mg PO daily for 3-7 days (BII)

Recurrent vulvovaginitis

• Topical azole (as above) for 7-14 days, or fluconazole (100 mg, 150, or 200 mg) PO for 3 doses (days 1, 4, and 7), before considering starting secondary prophylaxis (see above). If using the miconazole 1200 mg vaginal suppository, then the first dose is given on day 1 followed by a second dose on day 4.

Discussion. Treatment for both uncomplicated and complicated vulvovaginitis in HIV-positive women is the same as for those who are HIV-negative²⁹. Uncomplicated vulvovaginitis is defined as being infrequent, mild, and likely due to *Candida albicans* in non-immunocompromised women. Complicated vulvovaginitis is defined by any one of the following: recurrent (defined as 4 or more symptomatic episodes in 1 year) or severe disease, infection caused by non-*albicans Candida*, or the host being immunocompromised, diabetic, or debilitated²⁹.

Topical azoles are more effective than nystatin. Systemic therapy is recommended for patients who do not tolerate or respond to topical therapy. Oral itraconazole capsules were as effective as topical clotrimazole for vaginitis³⁵; however, itraconazole's role in fluconazole-refractory mucosal candidiasis has only been reported in oropharyngeal and esophageal disease. Azoles may be unreliable for non-*albicans Candida* species infection, which may respond to boric acid 600 mg or nystatin^{29,34}. Nystatin vaginal suppositories and boric acid gelatin capsules are not marketed in Canada, but on request may be prepared by one of a few compounding pharmacies in British Columbia (see: <u>Find A Compounder - PCCA - Professional Compounding Centers of America (pccarx.com)</u>).



IV) REFRACTORY MUCOSAL CANDIDIASIS

Treatment.

Preferred treatment (for either oropharyngeal or esophageal candidiasis):

- Itraconazole oral solution (not capsules) 200 mg daily for 4 weeks (AI), or
- Posaconazole oral solution 400 mg PO twice daily for 4 weeks (AI)

Alternative treatment:

Oropharyngeal candidiasis (2 weeks):

- Nystatin suspension 200,000-500,000 units swish and swallow, 4 times daily (BII), or
- Compounding pharmacy options (see Discussion):
- Amphotericin B oral solution 100 mg/mL, 5 mL swish and swallow 4 times a day; or amphotericin B prepared from the IV formulation: 5 mL (1 mg in 5mL dextrose 5% in water (D5W) with cherry syrup) swish and swallow 4 times a day (BII) or
- Nystatin oral tablets (500,000 units) dissolve in the mouth or liquid suspension (0.5-1.0 million units) swish and swallow, 3-5 times a day (BIII), or
 - Clotrimazole troches 10 mg orally, 4-5 times daily (BIII), or
- Any of the treatment options listed below for esophageal candidiasis.

Esophageal candidiasis (2-4 weeks):

- Posaconazole oral solution 400 mg PO twice daily for 2 weeks (AI), or
- An echinocandin (e.g. micafungin 150 mg IV daily; or caspofungin 70 mg IV on day one, then 50 mg IV daily; or anildulafungin 100 mg IV on day one, then 50 mg IV daily) (BII), or
- Voriconazole 200 mg (3 mg/kg) PO or IV twice daily (BII), or
- Liposomal amphotericin B 3 mg/kg IV daily, (BIII), or
- Amphotericin B deoxycholate 0.3-0.7 mg/kg IV daily (BII)

Discussion. Azole-refractory mucosal candidiasis has been defined as clinical failure to respond to a 14-day course of treatment with either fluconazole 200 mg daily, or itraconazole oral solution 200 mg PO twice daily³⁶. Azole-refractory disease was most prevalent in the pre-ART era, often recurrent, and associated with advanced HIV disease and significant prior fluconazole exposure. Most reports involved oropharyngeal rather than esophageal or vulvovaginal *candidiasis*³⁷. Most of the oropharyngeal cases had been due to *Candida albicans*³⁷; whereas refractory vulvovaginitis is often caused by non-*albicans Candida* species, particularly C. *glabrata*^{31,33,34}. The *Candida* isolates in the oropharyngeal cases usually have reduced susceptibility in vitro to fluconazole (Minimum Inhibi-



tory Concentration for 80% [MIC80] > 32 μ g/mL)37 and varying degrees of cross-resistance to other azoles. Refractory cases should have a smear (KOH or Gram stain) and culture collected for species identification for confirmation of the diagnosis.

Clinical response rates for fluconazole-refractory oropharyngeal *candidiasis* have been in the range of 55-80% with itraconazole oral solution36,38,39, 83% with voriconazole40, 64% with caspofungin⁴¹, 75-86% with posaconazole^{27,42} and 43% with amphotericin B oral solution^{43,44}. Excluding fluconazole-refractory disease, among patients with either oropharyngeal or esophageal candidiasis the response rate with intravenous amphotericin B has only been 63%^{23,45}. The association of refractory mucosal candidiasis with advanced HIV disease and short median survival time of 33 weeks in the pre-ART era³⁷ requires prompt initiation or revision of ART, which has been successful in eradicating azole-refractory mucosal candidiasis without antifungal therapy⁴⁶.

Given itraconazole's uncertain absorption and numerous drug interactions, therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) may be considered if prolonged therapy is planned, but is not routinely required in the management of mucosal candidiasis. If necessary, itraconazole TDM is available at the St. Paul's Hospital (Vancouver) chemistry laboratory. A trough itraconazole level (EDTA plasma sample) should be obtained after 4-7 days of treatment and periodically thereafter. The observation of prolonged salivary itraconazole concentrations and clinical responses with the oral solution in some patients who have had undetectable itraconazole blood levels due to drug interactions indicates the presence of a significant topical effect, at least in oropharyngeal disease⁴⁷. Itraconazole adverse effects include hepatotoxicity, peripheral neuropathy, occasional exacerbation of congestive heart failure due to its negative inotropic effect, and the triad of hypokalemia, peripheral edema and hypertension related to pseudohyperaldosteronism.

V) MUCOSAL CANDIDIASIS IN PREGNANCY

Both systemic azole antifungals and echinocandins should be avoided in pregnancy due to concerns related to teratogenicity, abortion, or inadequate safety data (AIII).

Treatment

Oropharyngeal and vulvovaginitis

• Topical antifungals as outlined above (except miconazole)

Esophageal candidiasis

Liposomal amphotericin B IV or amphotericin B IV or as outlined above

Discussion. In the general population, about 10% of pregnant women are affected by vulvovaginal candidiasis⁴⁸. During pregnancy, topical antifungals are the preferred agents for both oropharyngeal and vaginal candidiasis. An intravenous amphotericin B formulation is required for esophageal candidiasis⁴⁹ given the lack of evidence to support topical therapy for this indication.

In regard to the risk associated with various antifungal drugs in pregnancy, topical azoles are not ab-



sorbed or minimally absorbed and are considered safe in any trimester. The one exception is topical miconazole which has the FDA pregnancy drug category C designation (animal reproduction studies have shown an adverse effect on the fetus and there are no adequate and well -controlled studies in humans, but potential benefits may warrant use of the drug in pregnant women despite risks)⁵⁰. In pregnancy, amphotericin B and liposomal amphotericin B are the safest among the systemically administered antifungal drugs, both being listed as FDA pregnancy drug category B (animal reproduction studies have failed to demonstrate a risk to the fetus and there are no adequate and well-controlled studies in pregnant women). Neonates born of mothers who have received extended courses of amphotericin B formulations should be evaluated for renal dysfunction and hypokalemia.

Fluconazole is an FDA category D drug (evidence of human fetal risk; however, the potential benefit may warrant its use despite the risk). In the Danish Medical Birth Registry, tetralogy of Fallot was observed with greater frequency among infants whose mothers received fluconazole during the first trimester⁵¹. A subsequent study based on the same registry also demonstrated an increased risk of spontaneous abortion among women exposed to any dose of fluconazole between weeks 7-22 of pregnancy⁵². This latter finding was confirmed in the recent Quebec Pregnancy Cohort study (1998-2015)⁵³. An additional observation of the Quebec study was that high dose fluconazole, defined as a cumulative dose of >150 mg during the first trimester was associated with cardiac anomalies⁵³. A recent study based upon the national pregnancy registries for Sweden and Norway showed no association between fluconazole use in pregnancy and any increased risk of either stillbirth or neonatal death⁵⁴.

Itraconazole, posaconazole, and the echinocandins are all FDA pregnancy drug category C (animal reproduction studies have shown an adverse effect on the fetus and there are no adequate and well-controlled studies in humans, but potential benefits may warrant use of the drug in pregnant women despite risks)⁵⁰. Voriconazole is also not recommended in pregnancy and has an FDA pregnancy drug category D designation (evidence of human fetal risk; however, the potential benefit may warrant its use despite the risk). The paucity of human studies regarding the safety of posaconazole, voriconazole, and the echinocandins in pregnancy support the recommendation to avoid all of these antifungals in pregnancy. Although itraconazole is an FDA category C drug, no increased rate of congenital abnormalities has been observed in 3 studies of women who received itraconazole during pregnancy^{51,55,56}. However, in a study of 1st trimester itraconazole exposure, there were higher rates of both spontaneous and induced abortion compared to the control group⁵⁵, making it another systemic azole to avoid in pregnancy, particularly during the 1st trimester.



REFERENCES

- 1. Feigal DW, Katz MH, Greenspan D, et al. The prevalence of oral lesions in HIV-infected homosexual and bisexual men: three San Francisco epidemiological cohorts. AIDS 1991;5:519-25.
- 2. Darouiche RO. Oropharyngeal and esophageal candidiasis in immunocompromised patients: Treatment issues. Clin Infect Dis 1998;26:259-272.
- 3. Patel PK, Erlandsen JE, Kirkpatrick WR, et al. The changing epidemiology of oropharyngeal candidiasis in patients with HIV/AIDS in the era of antiretroviral therapy. AIDS Res Ther 2012;262471 doi:10.1155/2012/262471
- 4. Bozzette SA. Fluconazole Prophylaxis in HIV Disease, Revisited. Clin Infect Dis 2005;41:1481-1482.
- 5. Goldman M, Cloud GA, Wade KD, et al. A randomized study of the use of fluconazole in continuous versus episodic therapy in patients with advanced HIV infection and a history of oropharyngeal candidiasis: AIDS Clinical Trials Group Study 323/Mycoses Study Group Study 40. Clin Infect Dis 2005;41:1473-1480.
- 6. Koletar SL, Russell JA, Fass RJ, et al. Comparison of oral fluconazole and clotrimazole troches as treatment for oral candidiasis in patients infected with human immunodeficiency virus. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1990;34:2267-2268.
- 7. Pons V, Greenspan D, Lozada-Nur F, et al. Oropharyngeal candidiasis in patients with AIDS: Randomized comparison of fluconazole versus nystatin oral suspensions. Clin Infect Dis 1997;24:1204–1207.
- 8. Hamza OJM, Matee MIN, Brüggemann RJM, et al. Single-dose fluconazole versus standard 2-week therapy for oropharyngeal candidiasis in HIV-infected Patients: A randomized, double-blind, double-dummy trial. Clin Infect Dis 2008;47:1270–1276.
- 9. Phillips P, De Beule K, Frechette G, et al. A double-blind comparison of itraconazole oral solution and fluconazole capsules for the treatment of oropharyngeal candidiasis in patients with AIDS. Clin Infect Dis 1998;26:1368–1373.
- 10. Graybill JR, Vazquez J, Darouiche RO, et al. Randomized trial of itraconazole oral solution for oropharyngeal candidiasis in HIV/AIDS patients. Am J Med 1998;104:33-9.
- 11. Vazquez JA, Skiest DJ, Nieto L, et al. A multicenter randomized trial evaluating posaconazole versus fluconazole for the treatment of oropharyngeal candidiasis in subjects with HIV/AIDS. Clin Infect Dis 2006;42:1179–1186.
- 12. Conrad DA, Lentnek AL. Comparative evaluation of nystatin pastille and clotrimazole troche for the treatment of candidal stomatitis in immunocompromised patients. Curr Ther Res 1990;47:627-36.
- 13. Vazquez JA, Patton LL, Epstein JB, et al. Randomized, comparative, double-blind, double-dummy, multicenter trial of miconazole buccal tablet and clotrimazole troches for the treatment of oropharyngeal candidiasis: study of miconazole Lauriad® efficacy and safety (SMiLES). HIV Clin Trials 2010;11:186-96.
- 14. Schuman P, Capps L, Peng G, et al. Weekly fluconazole for the prevention of mucosal candidiasis in women with HIV infection. A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Ann Intern Med 1997;126:689-696.
- 15. Porro GB; Parente F, Cernuschi M. The Diagnosis of esophageal candidiasis in patients with acquired immune deficiency syndrome: Is endoscopy always necessary? J Gastroenterol 1989;84:143-6.
- 16. Bonacini M, Young T, Laine L. The causes of esophageal symptoms in human immunodeficiency virus infection. A prospective study of 110 patients. Arch Intern Med 1991;151:1567-72.
- 17. Wilcox CM, Alexander LN, Clark WS, et al. Fluconazole compared with endoscopy for human immunodeficien-



- cy virus infected patients with esophageal symptoms. Gastroenterology 1996;110:1803-9.
- 18. Wilcox CM, Schwartz DA, Clark WS. Esophageal ulceration in human immunodeficiency virus infection: causes, response to therapy, and long-term outcome. Ann Intern Med 1995;122:143-9.
- 19. Pappas PG, Kauffman CA, Andes DR, et al. Clinical practice guideline for the management of candidiasis: 2016 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis 2016;62:e1-50.
- 20. Wilcox CM, Darouiche RO, Laine L, et al. A randomized, double-blind comparison of itraconazole oral solution and fluconazole tablets in the treatment of esophageal candidiasis. J Infect Dis 1997;176:227–232.
- 21. Ally R, Schurmann D. Kreisel W, et al. A randomized, double-blind, double-dummy, multicenter trial of voricon-azole and fluconazole in the treatment of esophageal candidiasis in immunocompromised patients. Clin Infect Dis 2001;33:1447-54.
- 22. de Wet N, Llanos-Cuentas A, Suleiman J, et al. A randomized, double-blind, parallel-group, dose-response study of micafungin compared with fluconazole for the treatment of esophageal candidiasis in HIV-positive patients. Clin Infect Dis 2004;39:842-9.
- 23. Villanueva A, Arathoon EG, Gotuzzo E, et al. A randomized double-blind study of caspofungin versus amphotericin for the treatment of candidal esophagitis. Clin Infect Dis 2001;33:1529-35.
- 24. Krause DS, Simjee AE, van Rensburg C, et al. A randomized, double-blind trial of anidulafungin versus flucon-azole for the treatment of esophageal candidiasis. Clin Infect Dis 2004;39:770-5.
- 25. Viljoen J, Azie N, Schmitt-Hoffmann AH, et al. A phase 2, randomized, double-blind, multicenter trial to evaluate the safety and efficacy of three dosing regimens of isavuconazole compared with fluconazole in patients with uncomplicated esophageal candidiasis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2015;59:1671-9.
- 26. Barbaro G, Barbarini G, Calderon W, et al. Fluconazole versus itraconazole for candida esophagitis in acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. Gastroenterology 1996;111:1169-1177.
- 27. Skiest DJ, Vazquez JA, Anstead GM, et al. Posaconazole for the treatment of azole-refractory oropharyngeal and esophageal candidiasis in subjects with HIV infection. Clin Infect Dis 2007;44:607-614.
- 28. Sobel JD, Wiesenfeld HC, Martens M, et al. Maintenance fluconazole therapy for recurrent vulvovaginal candidiasis. N Engl J Med 2004;351:876-83.
- 29. Workowski KA, Bolan GA. Sexually transmitted diseases treatment guidelines. MMWR June 5, 2015;64(RR3):1-137.
- 30. Sobel JD. Treatment of recurrent vulvovaginal candidiasis with maintenance fluconazole. Int J Obstet Gynecol 1992;37:17-34.
- 31. Sobel JD. Vulvovaginal candidiasis: a comparison of HIV-positive and -negative women. International Journal of STDs & AIDS 2002;13:358-62.
- 32. Ferris DG, Nyirjesy P, Sobel JD et al. Over-the-counter antifungal drug misuse associated with patient-diagnosed vulvovaginal candidiasis. Obstet Gynecol 2002;99:419-25.
- 33. Vazquez JA, Peng G, Sobel JD, et al. Evolution of antifungal susceptibility among *Candida* species isolates recovered from human immunodeficiency virus-infected women receiving fluconazole prophylaxis. Clin Infect dis 2001;33:1069-75.
- 34. Sobel JD. Vulvovaginitis due to Candida glabrata. An emerging problem. Mycoses 1998;41:18-22.



- 35. Stein GE, Mummaw N. Placebo-controlled trial of itraconazole for treatment of acute vaginal candidiasis. Antimicrob Ag Chemother 1993;37:89-92.
- 36. Fichtenbaum CJ, Powderly WG. Refractory mucosal candidiasis in patients with human immunodeficiency virus infection. Clin Infect Dis 1998;26:556-565.
- 37. Fichtenbaum CJ, Koletar S, Yiannoutsos C, et al. Refractory mucosal candidiasis in advanced human immunodeficiency virus infection. Clin Infect Dis 2000;30:749-56.
- 38. Phillips P, Zemcov J, Mahmood W, et al. Itraconazole cyclodextrin solution for fluconazole-refractory oropharyngeal candidiasis in AIDS: correlation of clinical response with in vitro susceptibility. AIDS 1996;10:1369-1376.
- 39. Saag MS, Fessel J, Kaufman CA et al. Treatment of fluconazole-refractory oropharyngeal candidiasis with itraconazole oral solution in HIV-positive patients. AIDS Research antd Human Retroviruses 2004;15: 1413-1417 doi. org/10.1089/088922299309919
- 40. Hegener P, Troke PF, Fätkenheuer G, et al. Treatment of fluconazole-resistant candidiasis with voriconazole in patients with AIDS. AIDS 1998;12:2227-2228.
- 41. Kartsonis NA, Saah A, Lipka CJ et al. Second-line therapy with caspofungin for mucosal or invasive candidiasis: results from the caspofungin compassionate-use study. J Antimicrob Chemother 2004;53:878-81
- 42. Vazquez JA, Skiest DJ, Tissot-Dupont H, et al. Safety and efficacy of posaconazole in the long-term treatment of azole-refractory oropharyngeal and esophageal candidiasis in patients with HIV infection. HIV Clin Trials 2007;8:86-97.
- 43. Fitchenbaum CJ, Zackin R, Rajicic N, et al. Amphotericin B oral suspension for fluconazole-refractory oral candidiasis in persons with HIV infection. AIDS 2000;14:845-852.
- 44. Dewsnup DH, Stevens DA. Efficacy of oral amphotericin B in AIDS patients with thrush clinically resistant to fluconazole. J Med Vet Mycol 1994;32:389-93.
- 45. Arathoon EG, Gotuzzo E, Noriega LM, et al. Randomized, double-blind, multicenter study of caspofungin versus amphotericin B for treatment of oropharyngeal and esophageal candidiasis. Antimicrob Ag Chemother 2002;46:451-457.
- 46. Vazquez J. Optimal management of oropharyngeal and esophageal candidiasis in patients living with HIV infection. HIV AIDS (Auckl). 2010;2:89-101 doi.org/10.2147/HIV.86660
- 47. Mascareñas CA, Hardin TC, Pennick GJ, et al. Treatment of thrush with itraconazole solution: Evidence for topical effect. Clin Infect Dis 1998;26:1242–3.
- 48. Cotch MF, Hillier SL, Gibbs RS, et al. Epidemiology and outcomes associated with moderate to heavy *Candida* colonization during pregnancy. Vaginal Infections and Prematurity study Group. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1998;178:374-80.
- 49. Panel on Opportunistic Infections in HIV-Infected Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the prevention and treatment of opportunistic infections in HIV-infected adults and adolescents: recommendations from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the National Institutes of Health, and the HIV Medicine Association of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Available at http://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/Ivguidelines/adult_oi.pdf. (Accessed November 20, 2020).
- 50. Pilmis B, Jullien V, Sobel J, et al. Antifungal drugs during pregnancy: an updated review. J Antimicrob Chemother 2015;70:14-22.



- 51. Mølgaard-Nielsen D, Pasternak B, Hviid A. Use of oral fluconazole during pregnancy and the risk of birth defects. N Engl J Med 2013;369:830-9.
- 52. Mølgaard-Nielsen D, Svanström H, Melbye M, et al. Association between use of oral fluconazole during pregnancy and risk of spontaneous abortion and stillbirth. JAMA 2016;315:58-67.
- 53. Bérard A, Sheehy O, Zhao JP, et al. Associations between low-and high-dose oral fluconazole and pregnancy outcomes: 3 nested case-control studies. CMAJ 2019;191:E179-87.
- 54. Pasternak B, Wintzell V, Furu K, et al. Oral fluconazole in pregnancy and risk of stillbirth and neonatal death. JAMA 2018;319:2333-5.
- 55. De Santis M, Di Gianantonio E, Cesari E, et al. First-trimester itraconazole exposure and pregnancy outcome. Drug Safety 2009;32:239-44.
- 56. Bar-Oz B, Moretti ME, Bishai R et al. Pregnancy outcome after in utero exposure to itraconazole: a prospective cohort study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2000;183:617-20.

