

The Impact of Food Insecurity on Sexual HIV Risk Negotiation with Clients Among Youth Sex Workers Living with and Affected by HIV

Daniella Barreto^{1,2}, Kate Shannon^{1,3}, Chrissy Taylor¹, Sabrina Dobrer¹, Jesse Brown², Shira Goldenberg^{1,4} & Kathleen Deering^{1,3}

1. BC Centre for Excellence in HIV/AIDS, St. Paul’s Hospital, Vancouver; 2. YouthCO HIV & Hep C Society, Vancouver
3. Department of Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver; 4. Faculty of Health Sciences, Simon Fraser University, Vancouver

Background

- Food security is one of many social determinants of health that must be present for positive health outcomes.
- A growing body of research from diverse settings globally suggests that hunger and food insecurity are associated with heightened vulnerability to HIV and reduced access to and retention in HIV care.
- Much of this research has been conducted in resource-poor countries, with limited data from resource-rich settings, despite evidence that food insecurity is concentrated among key affected populations, such as youth and sex workers.
- The objective of this research was to examine the independent effect of food insecurity on sexual HIV risk negotiation with clients among youth sex workers (aged 14-29 years) in Vancouver, Canada.

Methods

- Longitudinal data (baseline and six bi-annual follow-up questionnaires) was drawn from An Evaluation of Sex Workers’ Health Access (“AESHA”), a prospective community cohort of 723 street and off-street sex workers between January 2010-August 2013. Interviewers, outreach and nursing staff include experiential and non-experiential staff.
- This study was developed based on substantial community collaborations with sex work agencies since 2005 and continues to be monitored by a Community Advisory Board of representatives from more than 15 community agencies.
- Bivariate and multivariable generalized estimating equations (GEE) logistic regression were used to examine the independent relationship between measures of food insecurity derived using a modified version of the Radimer-Cornell Food Insecurity Scale and client condom refusal.
- The food insecurity scale was grouped into three categories, each measure representing a different aspect of food insecurity (Table 1).
- The primary outcome was client condom refusal. This variable was derived using responses of yes (always, usually, sometimes or occasionally, versus never) to client condom refusal with one-time or repeat clients for either vaginal or anal sex.

Results

- Of the 708 sex workers included in this study, 220 (31.1%) were youth, contributing 639 observations over the 3.5- year study period.
- Of the 220 youth sex workers, 34.6% (n=76), reported client condom refusal during the study period. Of our three measures of different aspects of food insecurity, 61.8% (n=136) reported having limited financial ability to afford food, 61.4% (n=135) reported being worried about food running out, and 9.1% (n=20) had exchanged sex directly for food over the study period.
- In bivariate logistic regression using GEE, non-injection drug use and experiencing physical or sexual violence by a client were statistically significantly associated with client condom refusal (on a p<0.10 level).

Table 1. Bivariate and multivariable OR’s using GEE for the relationship between food insecurity measures and client condom refusal among 220 youth (<30 years) sex workers in the AESHA Cohort in Metro Vancouver, Canada¹

Food insecurity measures	Client condom refusal ¹			
	Bivariate Crude Odds Ratios (95% Confidence Intervals)	p-value	Multivariate Adjusted Odds Ratios (95% Confidence Intervals)	p-value
Limited financial ability to afford food	2.83 (1.76-4.53)	<0.000*	2.20 (1.28-3.74)	0.004*
Worried about food running out	1.53 (0.95-2.45)	0.079	1.26 (0.73-2.17)	0.415
Exchanged sex directly for food	1.15 (0.51-2.59)	0.728	0.83 (0.33- 2.11)	0.691

¹Each food insecurity measure was examined in a separate multivariable model, adjusted for confounders including: Aboriginal/Indigenous ancestry; non-injection drug use in the last six months; injection drug use in the last six months; experienced client violence in the last six months; experienced police harassment in the last six months.

- Of the food insecurity measures, in bivariate logistic regression using GEE, limited financial ability to afford food (OR: 2.83, 95% CI (1.76-4.53)) was statistically significantly associated with client condom refusal, being worried about food running out (OR: 1.53, 95% CI (0.95-2.45)) and exchanging sex directly for food were not statistically significantly associated with client condom refusal (OR:1.15, 95% CI (0.51-2.59)).
- In multivariable GEE analysis, after adjusting for other HIV risk pathways (e.g. injection and non-injection drug use, client sexual/physical violence), financial food insecurity remained statistically significantly associated with client condom refusal (AOR: 2.20, 95% confidence interval: 1.28-3.74).

Conclusions

- More than half of youth (61.8%) were considered to be financially food insecure at least once over the study period despite ready availability of food banks and charitable food sources in Vancouver.
- Because food insecurity can operate as a driver and a result of HIV vulnerability, this research underscores holistic support for youth living with and vulnerable to HIV.
- This study specifically indicates the necessity of ensuring access to nutritious food for marginalized youth, HIV/STI education and services among youth involved in sex work, and food security for youth as a prerequisite to positive health outcomes for those both living with and affected by HIV.
- Food security is directly associated with HIV risk negotiation and access to treatment. There is a demonstrated need for youth-centered programs to address the issue of food security for youth in sex work.

Acknowledgements

We thank all those who contributed their time and expertise to this project, particularly participants, AESHA community advisory board members and partner agencies. This research was supported by operating grants from the US National Institutes of Health (R01DA028648) and Canadian Institutes of Health Research (HHP-98835), and MacAIDS. We have no conflicts of interest to declare.

